



Saskatchewan Wildlife Management Report 2017



Ministry of Environment
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Wildlife Unit
September 2018

Acknowledgements

The Saskatchewan Wildlife Management Report is produced by the Wildlife Unit of the Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch. It was co-authored by all members of the Wildlife Unit, including (in alphabetical order) Nelson Ackerman, Katherine Conkin, Mike Gollop, Allison Henderson, Lois Koback, Chuck Lees, Jeanette Pepper, Tom Perry, Iga Stasiak, Rob Tether, Graham Thibault, Brad Tokaruk, Tim Trottier, Matthew Weiss, Todd Whiklo and Travis Williams. Katherine Conkin is the senior editor of this document.

The Ministry of Environment is indebted to the hunters and trappers of Saskatchewan for providing harvest and population information, as well as biological samples, which form the foundation of this report. In addition, public participants, guides and outfitters and partner agencies are acknowledged for their contributions to this effort. Many other members of the Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch participated in population surveys and their donation of time and expertise is greatly appreciated. Special thanks is extended to the Compliance and Field Services staff, whose contribution to game management is significant, both in direct participation in population surveys and biological sample collections, as well as the qualitative information they provide the Wildlife Unit. This information is invaluable to game management in Saskatchewan. Thank you to all!

Citation: Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. 2018. Saskatchewan Wildlife Management Report 2017. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2018-1. 111pp.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	2
List of Tables	9
List of Figures	11
List of Appendices	11
General Information	12
Introduction to Wildlife Management and Guiding Principles in Saskatchewan	12
What species are involved?	12
History of Wildlife Management Zones	12
Key Considerations Guiding Wildlife Management	14
Data Collection Techniques	18
Population Survey Techniques.....	18
Biological Sample Collection	19
Hunting and Harvest Statistics	21
Survey History	22
Outfitting in Saskatchewan.....	23
STATUS OF SPECIES IN SASKATCHEWAN	24
White-tailed Deer (<i>Odocoileus virginianus dakotensis</i>).....	24
Population Status.....	24
Survey Data	24
Biological Sample Collections.....	29
General Overview	29
Hunting Season Review.....	30
Research Initiatives	32
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	32
Long-term Management Objectives	32
Short-term Management Strategies	33
Additional Information.....	33
Mule Deer (<i>Odocoileus hemionus</i>).....	34
Population Status.....	34
Survey Data	34
Biological Sample Collections.....	37

General Overview	37
Hunting Season Review.....	37
Research Initiatives	38
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	38
Long-term Management Objectives	38
Short-term Management Strategies	38
Additional Information.....	39
Elk (<i>Cervus canadensis</i>)	40
Population Status.....	40
Survey Data	40
Biological Sample Collections.....	42
General Overview	42
Hunting Season Review.....	44
Research Initiatives	45
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	45
Long-term Management Objectives	45
Short-term Management Strategies	45
Additional Information.....	46
Moose (<i>Alces alces</i>).....	47
Population Status.....	47
Survey Data	47
Biological Sample Collections.....	50
General Overview	50
Hunting Season Review.....	50
Research Initiatives	51
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	52
Long-term Management Objectives	52
Short-term Management Strategies	53
Additional Information.....	53
Pronghorn (<i>Antilocapra americana</i>)	54
Population Status.....	54
Survey Data	54

Biological Sample Collections.....	55
General Overview	56
Hunting Season Review.....	56
Research Initiatives	56
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	57
Long-term Management Objectives	57
Short-term Management Strategies	57
Additional Information.....	57
Black Bear (<i>Ursus americanus</i>).....	58
Population Status.....	58
Survey Data	58
Biological Sample Collections.....	58
General Overview	58
Hunting Season Review.....	58
Research Initiatives	61
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	61
Long-term Management Objectives	61
Short-term Management Strategies	61
Additional Information.....	61
Barren-ground Caribou (<i>Rangifer tarandus groenlandicus</i>)	62
Population Status.....	62
Survey Data	64
Biological Sample Collections.....	64
General Overview	65
Hunting Season Review.....	66
Harvest Monitoring.....	66
Research Initiatives	67
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	67
Long-term Management Objectives	67
Short-term Management Strategies	67
Additional Information.....	68
Woodland Caribou (<i>Rangifer tarandus caribou</i>).....	69

Population Status.....	69
Survey Data	69
Biological Sample Collections.....	70
General Overview	70
Hunting Season Review.....	71
Research Initiatives	72
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	73
Long-term Management Objectives	74
Short-term Management Strategies.....	74
Additional Information.....	74
Plains Bison (<i>Bison bison bison</i>)	76
Population Status.....	76
Survey Data	76
Biological Sample Collections.....	76
General Overview	78
Hunting Season Review.....	80
Research Initiatives	80
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	81
Long-term Management Objectives	81
Short-term Management Strategies.....	81
Additional Information.....	81
Upland Game Birds	82
Population Status.....	82
Survey Data	82
Biological Sample Collections.....	82
General Overview	84
Hunting Season Review.....	84
Research Initiatives	86
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	86
Long-term Management Objectives	86
Short-term Management Strategies.....	86
Additional Information.....	86

Waterfowl	87
Population Status	87
Survey Data	87
Biological Sample Collections.....	90
General Overview	90
Hunting Season Review.....	90
Research Initiatives	91
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	93
Long-term Management Objectives	93
Short-term Management Strategies	93
Additional Information.....	93
Furbearers.....	94
Population Status.....	94
Survey Data	94
Biological Sample Collections.....	96
General Overview	96
Trapping Season Review	96
Research Initiatives	100
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	100
Long-term Management Objectives	100
Short-term Management Strategies	100
Additional Information.....	100
Gray Wolf (<i>Canis lupus</i>).....	101
Population Status.....	101
Survey Data	101
Biological Sample Collections.....	102
General Overview	102
Hunting Season Review.....	102
Research Initiatives	102
Management Objectives and Strategies.....	104
Long-term Management Objectives	104
Short-term Management Strategies	104

Additional Information.....	104
Additional Species.....	105
Cougar (<i>Puma concolor</i>).....	105
Population Status.....	105
Research Initiatives	105
Additional Information.....	105
Literature Cited	106
Appendix	110

List of Tables

Table 1. Species hunted and trapped in Saskatchewan.....	12
Table 2. Winter severity description (2008-2017).	17
Table 3. Hunter harvest survey response rates in 2017.	22
Table 4. Wildlife surveys completed in 2013 through 2017.	23
Table 5. White-tailed deer population and density data collected intermittently in select WMZs by aerial survey (1994-2017).....	26
Table 6. Spotlight survey population trends for white-tailed deer presented as deer per linear mile observed in 2016 and 2017 compared to the long-term average (LTA) (1983-2017) where possible. “-”= data not available, *= new route established in 2014 or 2015, **= adjusted route.....	27
Table 7. Estimated provincial white-tailed deer population structure based on 2017 CWMS field observations as compared to the 35-year LTA (1983-2017) in the grassland (WMZs 1-14), farmland (WMZs 15-30), parkland (WMZs 31-47), forest fringe (WMZs 48-55), forest (WMZs 56-69) and northern forest (WMZs 70-76) ecozones. Data collected through both the traditional booklet and new CWMS smartphone App is indicated by “*”.....	28
Table 8. Estimated white-tailed deer harvested by Saskatchewan and Canadian resident hunters in Saskatchewan for years when data was collected (2008-2017) relative to the 10-year mean (2000-2009) when harvest data was collected using paper mail-in surveys. After 2013, harvest data was collected using an online survey.	31
Table 9. White-tailed deer harvested by non-resident (guided) hunters in Saskatchewan (2007-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.....	32
Table 10. Mule deer population and density data collected by aerial survey (1994-2017).	35
Table 11. Spotlight survey population trends for mule deer presented as deer per linear mile observed in 2016 and 2017 compared to the long-term average (LTA) (1983-2017) where possible. “---”= data not available, *= new route established in 2014 or 2015, **= adjusted route.	35
Table 12. Provincial mule deer population structure based on results of the 2017 CWMS and compared to the long-term average (LTA) (1983-2017) in the grassland (WMZs 1-14), farmland (WMZs 15-30), parkland (WMZs 31-47), forest fringe (WMZs 48-55), forest (WMZs 56-69) and northern forest (WMZs 70-76). Data not available is indicated by “---” and data collected through both the traditional booklet and new CWMS smartphone App is indicated by “*”.....	36
Table 13. Mule deer harvested by resident hunters in Saskatchewan (2008-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.....	38
Table 14. Elk population, density and herd structure data collected on aerial surveys (1982-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.....	40
Table 15. Results of the 2017 follow-up WMZ 33 email hunter harvest survey.	44
Table 16. Estimated elk harvested by resident hunters in Saskatchewan (2008-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.....	45
Table 17. Moose population, density and herd structure data collected on aerial surveys (2007-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.....	48
Table 18. Moose harvest in 2017 compared to the 5-year mean (2013-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.....	51

Table 19. Pronghorn herd structure survey results from 2017.	55
Table 20. Pronghorn harvest (2007-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”	56
Table 21. Resident black bear harvest in 2008 through 2017. Data not available is indicated by “---”	59
Table 22. Canadian Resident Black Bear Harvest in Saskatchewan, 2015-2017.....	59
Table 23. Non-resident black bear harvest in 2008 through 2017. Data not available is indicated by “---”	60
Table 24. Population surveys of Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Herds between 1967 and 2014. Estimates before 1982 were visual surveys conducted on calving grounds and were based on the number of breeding females. Data not available is indicated by “---”	65
Table 25. Estimated upland game bird harvest by Saskatchewan residents.....	85
Table 26. Percentage of hunters of each residency hunting waterfowl exclusively, upland game birds exclusively and all game birds (i.e. both waterfowl and upland game birds) on their 2017 Saskatchewan game bird licence.....	85
Table 27. Goose population status. All estimates are three-year running averages. Goose species include greater white-fronted geese (GWFG), Canada geese (CAGO), snow geese (SNOW) and Ross’ geese (ROGO). The CAGO Winter Survey estimate includes Central Flyway Tall Grass Prairie, Short Grass Prairie, Hi-line, and Western Prairie/Great Plains populations (p49), while the SNOW/ROGO Winter Survey estimate includes Western Central Flyway Total and Mid-continent Population Central Flyway populations (p50).....	88
Table 28. Breeding population estimates (thousands) for 10 species of ducks from the traditional survey area (strata 1-18, 20-50, 75-77) covered by annual breeding population surveys. Duck species include mallard (MALL), gadwall (GADW), American wigeon (AMWI), green-winged teal (GWTE), blue-winged teal (BWTE), northern shoveler (NSHO), northern pintail (NOPI), redhead (REDH), canvasback (CANV) and both greater and lesser scaup (Scaup Spp.).....	89
Table 29. Annual spring abundance indices for the Mid-Continent Population of Sandhill cranes derived from surveys of the Central Platte River Valley, NE. All estimates are three-year running averages.....	90
Table 30. Duck harvest in Saskatchewan (2008-2017).	92
Table 31. Goose harvest in Saskatchewan (2008-2017).	92
Table 32. Furbearer species abundance as determined from the Annual Status of Furbearers Survey. ...	95
Table 33. Annual fur licence sales in Saskatchewan (2008-2017).	97
Table 34. Fur harvest by species (2008-2017).	98
Table 35. Annual pelts marketed and associated cash value (2008-2017).....	99
Table 36. Results of Wolf Hunts.	103

List of Figures

Figure 1. Wildlife Management Zones (WMZ) in Saskatchewan. Some WMZ boundaries were realigned in 2014 to allow for more easily identified boundary markers.....	13
Figure 2. White-tailed deer range in Saskatchewan, including ten white-tailed deer management units (WTDMU) delineated by ecozone, key winter habitat availability as identified by the 1982 Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Inventory and Wildlife Management Zones.	25
Figure 3. Schematic of the effect of severe winter conditions on all age classes in a deer population over time. Note that it takes multiple years for a population to recover from a winter mortality event due to the impact to the reproductive age classes.....	30
Figure 4. Number of elk observations summarized per wildlife management zone recorded with the Cooperative Wildlife Management Survey mobile application in 2017.	41
Figure 5. Relative abundance map of elk in Saskatchewan in 2017. Elk abundance estimates were based on a variety of data sources, including: aerial surveys, cooperative wildlife management submissions, public and Ministry of Environment staff field reports and expert opinion. Saskatchewan WMZ boundaries are included for reference.....	43
Figure 6. Moose Management Units (MMU), used by biologists to manage moose populations, are identified, along with moose habitat and WMZ boundaries.	49
Figure 7. Farmland moose study area for research project. Red line depicts Hwy # 11. (Adapted from Brook 2014)	52
Figure 8. Pronghorn Management Units (PMUs) for Saskatchewan, 2017.....	55
Figure 9. Barren-ground caribou range map.	63
Figure 10. Woodland caribou range in Saskatchewan (Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment 2012)...	70
Figure 11. Genetic Population Structure (d) is the preferred depiction based on cluster assignments. ..	73
Figure 12. Plains bison range in Saskatchewan.....	77
Figure 13. McCusker River Plains Bison Population range in Saskatchewan.....	78
Figure 14. Sturgeon River Plains Bison Population range in Saskatchewan (courtesy of Sturgeon River Plains Bison Stewards).....	79
Figure 15. Bison population trend from annual aerial survey conducted by park staff within Prince Albert National Park (Parks Canada. 2014 Free-ranging plains bison census 1996-2017. Prince Albert National Park. Waskesiu Lake, Saskatchewan. Canada. Unpublished files).....	80
Figure 16. Upland game bird ranges across the province (BirdLife International and Handbook of the Birds of the World 2016). Please note the ring-necked pheasant range is likely artificial north of the South Saskatchewan River and Qu’Appelle River system due to temporary presence of released birds.....	83
Figure 18. Current Wolf Management Areas in Saskatchewan.....	103

List of Appendices

Appendix A. Licence sales (2008 -2017) for all licence types in Saskatchewan. Data not available is indicated by “---“	110
--	-----

General Information

Introduction to Wildlife Management and Guiding Principles in Saskatchewan

What species are involved?

Saskatchewan offers a diverse and plentiful wildlife community. While the Ministry of Environment’s Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch has responsibility for all provincially managed species in Saskatchewan, this report will focus on those species that are regularly hunted and trapped (Table 1). This list includes a variety of ungulates, mammals and birds and the work the ministry does on these species will be discussed in detail.

Table 1. Species hunted and trapped in Saskatchewan.

Big Game	Birds	Furbearers	
White-tailed deer	Sharp-tailed grouse	Arctic fox	Muskrat
Mule deer	Ring-necked pheasant	Badger	Otter
Elk	Gray partridge	Bear	Raccoon
Moose	Ruffed grouse	Beaver	Skunk
Pronghorn	Spruce grouse	Bobcat	Squirrel (4 species)
Black bear	Willow ptarmigan	Coyote	Weasel (3 species)
Barren-ground caribou	Sandhill cranes	Fisher	Wolf
Woodland caribou	Geese: All species	Fox	Wolverine
Wolf	Ducks: All species	Lynx	
	American coot	Marten	
	Wilson’s snipe	Mink	

History of Wildlife Management Zones

Saskatchewan is divided into Wildlife Management Zones (WMZs) that group similar geographic features and follow ecological boundaries. These WMZs allow for managing wildlife according to regional differences in both wildlife populations and social tolerances, as opposed to making management decisions on a province-wide basis. Wildlife Management Zones have been used to manage game species in Saskatchewan since the early 20th century, although the specific boundaries of each zone have changed over time. Presently there are 83 WMZs (Figure 1) in the province.




Figure 1. Wildlife Management Zones (WMZ) in Saskatchewan. Some WMZ boundaries were realigned in 2014 to allow for more easily identified boundary markers.

Key Considerations Guiding Wildlife Management

Wildlife populations can be affected by a variety of factors. Subsequently, managing wildlife populations can be a complex task and wildlife managers must consider many variables when making decisions. First and foremost, the demographics of the population being managed are considered. Is the population increasing or decreasing? Does the population have the necessary components (e.g. age structure, reproductive capabilities, etc.) to achieve the goals that are being set? In addition to the demographics of the population, managers must consider other variables that are acting on the population, such as environmental conditions, infectious disease and habitat availability. Finally, given that wildlife is a public resource and that the public interacts with wildlife in many different ways, wildlife managers must also consider the needs and wants of the public and how best to mitigate these interactions for positive outcomes. Each of these considerations will be discussed in further detail below.

Population Demographics

It is a popular misconception that wildlife is managed on the basis of a total population count that is accurate both locally and provincially. In fact, such counts would be cost prohibitive and logistically unfeasible. While some jurisdictions may occasionally provide an estimate of the total provincial or state population for a species, these are derived numbers that come from a variety of small scale survey techniques and are not used as a basis for making management decisions.

Wildlife managers rely on many metrics to assess how wildlife populations are faring. Most commonly used are population size/abundance, density and structure. Population size is often presented as an estimate of abundance and derived from surveys of small areas that are then extrapolated to larger areas. Acquiring true abundance data is time and cost-intensive to acquire and the dynamic nature of populations makes the information relevant for only short periods of time. Density, or number of animals per kilometre squared, is another metric of interest and allows wildlife managers to extrapolate the carrying capacity of a habitat type or area. As with abundance, this metric is often an estimate and is extrapolated across larger areas. Finally, population structure, or the components the population is made up of, is important to knowing how a population functions. Structure in wildlife management is usually defined as the gender and age components of a population. For example, it is important to know the number of adult (i.e. breeding) females, as well as the number of adult (i.e. breeding) males in a population if one is going to predict how a population may grow or decline over time. It is equally important to know what proportion of the population is young of the year, in order to assess recruitment into the population over a period of time. All of these metrics can be assessed with varying levels of statistical certainty and can be used to evaluate the state of wildlife populations at a variety of spatial scales and over many time periods.

Environmental Conditions – Winter Severity

Environmental conditions during key periods in a species' life cycle can greatly impact population growth or decline. In Saskatchewan, where winter is the dominant season and often the most extreme in nature, winter severity is often a key variable impacting populations. A severe winter can directly impact a species survival by making resources unavailable, or can indirectly impact survival by causing individuals to expend desperately-needed energy to a point where they enter spring in poor health,

which can either result in decreased reproductive capability or subsequent death. Alternatively, a mild winter can result in a larger cohort of the population surviving the winter and entering spring in good health, and a subsequent population increase. Three main factors of winter severity are the temperature, snow depth and length of winter. Temperature can either be ambient temperature or include the wind chill, which is largely related to shelter availability. However, often snow depth is the more important variable, as it has the ability to make resources completely unavailable to grazing wildlife or significantly increase the amount of energy expended to access the resources. Finally, the length of winter can cause animals to enter spring in poor health due to increased depletion of fat reserves if winter extends into the normal spring period. Winter severity affects populations for more than one year and significant changes in wildlife populations can often be attributed to winter severity in previous years. As such, managers consult records of winter severity (Table 2) in previous years quite regularly. Although winter severity measurements have been largely anecdotal to date, the ministry has done preliminary modeling work (A. Schmidt, pers. comm.) to quantify winter severity and found that the average temperature from November to February interacting with the accumulated snowfall between October and February is well correlated to the trend in white-tailed deer populations in the Melville region. Further work is being considered to fine-tune this modeling exercise so that it may be applied more broadly across the province.

Habitat Availability

Habitat availability is quite simply the area and resources available to an individual in a particular location. Driven not only by the physical availability (i.e. habitat is present), but also the functional availability (i.e. habitat can be used by the individual), habitat availability can be a significant driver of population growth and decline both locally and on a larger scale. Historically with settlement and more recently with urban sprawl, natural habitats are becoming fragmented and lost to accommodate other land uses (namely agricultural, industrial and urban development). Even in situations where habitat exists, fragmentation can limit use if individuals cannot move between parcels of habitat, and/or an increase in number of individuals using each parcel (and the resources they sustain) can make them functionally unavailable. The availability of quality wintering habitat is a particularly important factor for Saskatchewan ungulates. The annual carrying capacity of the habitat mosaic in a local area will vary over time such that when environmental conditions are favourable the area may sustain high populations. However, in severe winters in areas with a shortage of quality wintering habitat, populations may decline sharply or come to rely heavily on agricultural food sources leading to increased human conflict. Optimum populations are achieved when management maintains a post-harvest population that is commensurate with what the available wintering habitat can sustain.

Public Input

Wildlife in Saskatchewan is managed as a public resource and residents of Saskatchewan interact with wildlife in a variety of ways. Whether it is a positive interaction (such as viewing wildlife in their natural environment or hunting wildlife for food) or a negative interaction (such as dealing with crop depredation, property damage or vehicle collision with wildlife), how people interact with wildlife is as unique as the individual and changes both with the species of wildlife and the situation under which the interaction occurs. Additionally, interactions with wildlife can be multi-faceted and the landowner who enjoys hunting deer for his year's supply of steaks can simultaneously be dealing with flocks of geese which are consuming portions of a pea field and thereby impacting the farm operation's bottom line. Furthermore, often the same wildlife can be viewed in several different lights and the deer that one person enjoys watching on their daily walks, can be the same deer that another person is trying to drive away from their crops and can even be the same deer that a third person is planning to hunt come fall. These complex interactions require wildlife managers to consider all points of view and strive to achieve a solution that appeases all interested parties. Factor in considering population demographics, environmental conditions, and habitat availability, while striving to maintain sustainable wildlife populations and one can begin to understand the complexities of managing wildlife.

Table 2. Winter severity description (2008-2017).

Year	Description
2007-2008	Moderate winter, but slow snow melt and late (mid-May) green-up.
2008-2009	Late green-up, possible mule deer winter kill in Great Sandhills, concerns in WMZ 29 (Gary Donald, pers. comm.).
2009-2010	Mid-October snowfall which melted. Mild and no snow in south until 1st week in December. Bitterly cold mid-December.
2010-2011	Mid-October snowfall which melted. Severe winter over most of province especially in the southeast, along United States border and the Cypress Hills. Milder in the northwest. Major snowfall in late April in the southeast. Delayed green-up.
2011-2012	Relatively mild winter over most of the province with warmer-than-average temperatures and below-average snow depth.
2012-2013	Severe winter across most of the province, including colder-than-average temperatures and above-average snow depth. Winter extended into the spring and delayed green-up.
2013-2014	Moderate to severe winter, with colder-than-average temperatures, that extended into the spring and delayed green-up.
2014-2015	Relatively mild winter over most of the province with warmer-than-average temperatures and below-average snow depth.
2015-2016	Relatively mild winter over most of the province with warmer-than-average temperatures and below-average snow depth. Southeast portion of province experienced heavier snowfall and above average snow crust which resulted in moderate deer mortality.
2016-2017	Relatively mild winter across much of the province. Slightly above average snow depth and average temperature conditions throughout the Parkland and Forest Fringe region.

Data Collection Techniques

The Ministry of Environment uses a variety of data collection techniques in order to monitor each species of interest. Each survey is designed to maximize the quality and quantity of information collected, while minimizing the disturbance to wildlife, within the logistical and financial resources of the ministry. Often the information collected includes data related to population size, structure and density within a particular region.

Population Survey Techniques

Population survey techniques are unique to the species that is being surveyed. Each survey is designed to maximize detection of individuals during the time period of interest in order to answer the biological questions being asked. Historically, many population surveys were aerial, primarily conducted in the winter months when there is sufficient snow on the ground and deciduous leaf cover is lacking in order to improve observers' ability to detect animals. However, ground-based survey techniques have gained popularity in recent years in response to both the logistical and financial constraints of aerial surveys and interest in additional research questions. Common survey techniques employed by the ministry include: a) Stratified Random Block Surveys; b) Population Structure Surveys (aerial based); c) co-operative deer management surveys; d) Spotlight Surveys; and e) Pronghorn Herd Structure Surveys. Each of these techniques is described in detail below.

- a) **Stratified Random Block Survey:** This aerial survey design stratifies areas into sample units (quadrats or blocks) based on habitat type. Sample units are randomly selected from each strata. Observers strive to achieve a population density estimate of $\pm 20\%$ within 90 per cent confidence intervals for the survey area. Put plainly, observers want to ensure that they cover enough area to confidently estimate the density across the entire survey area. A more detailed explanation can be found in Stewart (1983).
- b) **Population Structure Survey (aerial based):** These surveys, typically conducted in winter when snow cover and lack of foliage make observations easier, are designed to estimate age (i.e. adult vs. young) and sex composition of ungulate populations. Structures are usually presented as adult males or young per adult female. Survey flight paths are chosen to cover habitat types with high probability of detecting animals. Prior to the survey, minimum animal observations to obtain precise estimates within desired confidence intervals are calculated as per Czaplewski et al. (1993) and Scheaffer et al. (1990).
- c) **Co-operative Wildlife Management Survey (CWMS):** Formerly the Co-operative Deer Management Survey (CDMS), a citizen-science survey that exclusively collected observations of white-tailed and mule deer. In 2016, the ministry explored the use of a mobile application to boost participation and launched the co-operative wildlife management survey application in October 2017, with the inclusion of white-tailed and mule deer, moose, elk, sharp-tailed grouse and wild turkey.

- d) **Spotlight Survey:** This nocturnal, ground-based survey monitors deer population trends along nineteen established routes across the province. Observers travel each route in a truck outfitted with powerful spotlights. As deer are detected, observers record the number of deer observed and the species, age (i.e. juvenile or adult) and sex. A hand-held spotlight is used to improve classification once deer are observed, but is not used for detection.

- e) **Pronghorn Herd Structure Survey:** This ground-based survey monitors the changes in pronghorn populations over time. Seventy routes (each 80 kilometres long) were established across the pronghorn range in Saskatchewan and staff complete each one annually between July 1 and July 21. Two surveyors record the number, age and sex of all pronghorn observed within 800 metres either side of the road.

Biological Sample Collection

Biological sample collections are the collection of any tissue, such as teeth, fur, feathers, antlers, brains, and skin, from an animal. These samples are often used to determine sex, age, health, genetic makeup and (where applicable) antler configuration of game species. Age of harvested animals older than young-of-the-year is often determined using tooth cementum deposition (moose, elk, white-tailed deer and black bear) and/or molar wear (white-tailed deer only). In game birds, feather wear and length can differentiate young-of-the-year from adults. In 2017, a hunter surveillance program was in place to collect heads and test for chronic wasting disease.

Chronic Wasting Disease

Chronic wasting disease (CWD) is a fatal degenerative disease that affects the nervous system of cervids or members of the deer family, including deer, elk, moose, and caribou. CWD belongs to a group of diseases known as transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) similar to BSE (mad cow disease) in cattle and scrapie in sheep. The disease was first detected in a wild mule deer in Saskatchewan in the fall of 2000. As of 2015, CWD has spread to wild white-tailed deer, mule deer, elk and moose populations within Saskatchewan. The disease has not yet been detected in caribou.

The disease is caused by infectious proteins, called prions, which are persistent in the environment and resistant to environmental degradation. Infectious prions begin to accumulate in the nervous tissue of the animal and eventually cause microscopic lesions to form in the brain. Symptoms which are not apparent until the last few weeks or months of infection include weight loss, behavioral change, excessive salivation, exhaustion, increased drinking and salivation, poor co-ordination, trembling and drooping of the head and ears. CWD is transmitted directly from animal to animal and from sources of environmental contamination including bait piles, mineral licks, grain bags, and other environments contaminated with fluids shed by infected animals. Prions are shed in urine, saliva, feces and blood of infected animals and may be shed up to a year or longer before animals begin to show signs of disease. Contact between animals is not necessary to spread the disease, as it can also be spread by prion-contaminated feed, soils, or shared water sources. When healthy animals come in contact with the bodily fluids of an infected animal or contaminated environment, they too may become infected. The reason we do not see a rapid increase in deaths is because it is a slowly developing disease with infected

deer taking a year and half or more to die. However, once infected, none recover as CWD is always fatal. Annual declines in populations of mule deer, white tailed deer, and elk have been documented in endemic areas of Wyoming and Colorado, where the disease has been present since the late 1980s (DeVivo et al., 2014, Monello et al. 2014, Edmunds et al. 2016).

Population models and empirical evidence from areas of high prevalence indicate that CWD results in a younger age structure, lower recruitment and lower numbers of deer and elk (Bollinger, pers. com., Miller et al. 2008, Dulberger et al. 2010, Monello et al. 2014, Edmunds et al. 2016). Saskatchewan operated a CWD surveillance program from 1997 through 2012 and again in 2015 through 2017. Samples collected from 2012 to 2014 included only sick or dying deer collected by conservation officers and collar-marked research animals. Cervids that tested positive for CWD (2001 to 2017) include: 474 (of 28,522 tested) mule deer, 115 (of 16,172) white-tailed deer, 12 (of 1,610) elk, and one (of 253) moose. In 2017, a total of 864 cervid heads were tested and 105 were CWD positive and 11 new CWD zones were identified. In contrast, the CWD surveillance program from 1997-2003 revealed only 12 positive CWD animals out of 11,209 heads tested. For survey results and a map of CWD positives, visit http://www.cwhc-rccsf.ca/surveillance_data_cwd.php.

The ministry established a CWD working group to help development of a long-range strategic plan to outline Saskatchewan's response to CWD. The CWD Working Group consists of members from the ministries of Agriculture, Health, and Environment as well as representatives from Saskatchewan Association of Rural Municipalities, Agricultural Producers Association of Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan Wildlife Federation, Nature Saskatchewan, Saskatchewan Bowhunters Association, Saskatchewan Outfitters Association, Regina Fish and Game League, and Parks Canada. The working group members share a common interest in seeing the prevalence of CWD contained to levels that will minimize impacts on wildlife, indigenous communities, as well as on agriculture, including game farms and potential contamination of food and feed sources.

Implications of CWD to humans are unknown. Although there have been no documented cases of CWD in humans, The World Health Organization, Health Canada, and the Saskatchewan Ministry of Health recommend that CWD infected meat not be consumed. Hunters are advised to take certain precautions when field dressing, transporting and processing animals and have animals tested prior to consumption. This is of special importance as research indicates that hunters' samples are 24 per cent more likely to contain a CWD positive animal compared to the proportion of animals with CWD in the population as a whole (Edmunds et al. 2016).

What Hunters Can Do to Help

- Report any animal acting abnormally to the nearest Ministry of Environment office. Do not shoot, handle or consume any animal that appears sick.
- Wear latex or rubber gloves when field dressing your deer, moose, or elk.
- Bone out the meat from your animal. Do not saw through bone, and avoid cutting through the brain or backbone of the animal.
- Avoid handling brain and spinal tissues.

- Wash your hands thoroughly after field dressing is completed and clean instruments used in field dressing in a mild bleach wash.
- Do not consume brain, spinal cord, eyes, spleen, tonsils and lymph nodes of harvested animals. Normal field dressing coupled with boning out a carcass will remove most, if not all, of these body parts. Cutting away all fatty tissue will remove remaining lymph nodes.
- If you have your deer or elk commercially processed, request that your animal is processed individually, without meat from other animals being added to meat from your animal. Avoid transporting a deer carcass from the area where it was taken. If the carcass is transported, dispose of the carcass waste by double-bagging it and taking it to an approved landfill.
- Avoid practices such as baiting, feeding, and mineral licks which may artificially congregate deer enhancing risk of disease transmission.

Hunting and Harvest Statistics

Continued monitoring of annual licence sales and harvest from hunting and trapping activities is critical for evaluating the implications of management strategies and ensuring the long-term sustainability of wildlife populations, as well as determining trends in hunter and trapper demographics and behaviour. Each year, the ministry's Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch conducts an annual computerized draw for elk, moose, pronghorn antelope and either-sex and antlerless mule deer. Over-the-counter licences are available for white-tailed deer, black bear, moose (bull-calf), elk (bulls-only, either sex), and game birds.

In recent years, the ministry has worked to modernize the licensing system to increase the efficiency of issuing licences and providing valuable licence sale data. The new online-based licensing system was introduced in 2013 and is contracted to Aspira (formerly Active Network), an experienced multi-national company that provides similar services for over 25 other provinces and states within North America. The online licensing system was customized to meet the needs of Saskatchewan and provides added benefits including real-time data that can be used by conservation officers and wildlife managers, an additional option for hunters, anglers and trappers to purchase their licences online from home, and streamlined financial processes. In addition, security features ensure that non-residents of Saskatchewan cannot obtain Saskatchewan resident hunting, angling and trapping privileges by including a built-in residency verification.

In order to monitor annual harvest, the ministry conducts a hunter harvest survey (HHS) through the Hunting, Angling and Trapping Licence (HAL) system. Hunters have the option to complete their surveys by logging online to their HAL account, in-person at a ministry office, or over the phone by calling the Aspira inquiry line (1-888-773-8450). The summarized results are provided in advance of the big game draw each year and can be viewed at <http://www.saskatchewan.ca/residents/parks-recreation-heritage-and-arts/hunting-trapping-and-angling/hunting/hunter-harvest-survey>.

In 2017, the ministry added hunter harvest surveys for several additional licences to the HAL system, as well as the Annual Status of Furbearers Survey (ASFS). Between the two survey types, 29,021 surveys were completed (Table 3), which was an increase from the 23,510 completed in 2016. Response rates, or the number of surveys completed compared to the number of surveys available, increased slightly between the two years for almost all surveys. Adjusted response rate accounts for the approximately 20

per cent of hunters that did not receive notification emails and therefore may not have been aware they had surveys available. Information about the HHS continued to be included in the Hunters' and Trappers' Guide and in 2017, but was also included on all Wildlife Habitat Certificates. The ministry continues to try and improve response rates, as more surveys that are completed, the more thorough any evaluation of management strategies can be. Outfitter records are used to analyze non-resident harvest and hunting activities for white-tailed deer and black bear, as the HHS does not capture guided harvest of big game animals.

Table 3. Hunter harvest survey response rates in 2017.

	Licence Type	Surveys Available	Surveys Completed	Response Rate	Adjusted Response Rate
Hunter Harvest Survey	Saskatchewan Resident Game Bird	20239	4162	21%	23%
	Canadian Resident Game Bird	1824	352	19%	26%
	Non-Resident Game Bird	9549	2022	21%	30%
	Draw Pronghorn	433	189	44%	45%
	Saskatchewan Resident Black Bear	3962	1319	33%	36%
	Canadian Resident Black Bear	201	36	18%	22%
	Barren Ground Caribou	7	2	29%	33%
	Saskatchewan Resident White-tailed Deer	39690	9866	25%	29%
	Draw Antlerless Mule Deer	3259	1101	34%	34%
	Canadian Resident Draw White-tailed Deer	1001	481	48%	48%
	Draw Mule Deer	3990	1343	34%	35%
	Regular Elk	6292	1735	28%	31%
	Draw Elk	3297	1108	34%	34%
	Archery Mule Deer	2919	1023	35%	37%
	Regular Moose	6572	1714	26%	30%
	Draw Moose	5318	1936	36%	37%
	Wolf	262	67	26%	27%
Annual Status of Furbearers Survey	Northern Fur Licence	1675	48	3%	14%
	Southern Fur Licence	2812	517	18%	21%

Survey History

The surveys conducted in a particular year are directed by many variables. Ministry priorities, information needs, public concern, staff availability and annual budget are just a few of the many variables that come into play when planning where, when and what surveys will be completed in any given year. As these variables change throughout the years, so do the surveys that are conducted. In an effort to capture this change, the surveys conducted over the past five years have been summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Wildlife surveys completed in 2013 through 2017.

Year	Surveys
2013	CDMS, Spotlight Survey, Pronghorn Herd Structure Survey, Saskatchewan Upland Game Bird Survey, HHS (Moose/Elk/Mule Deer/White-tailed Deer – Draw and Regular Licences), Population Structure Survey (MMPP – Elk/Moose, Cypress – Elk)
2014	CDMS, Spotlight Survey, Pronghorn Herd Structure Survey, Saskatchewan Upland Game Bird Survey, HHS (Moose/Elk/Mule Deer/White-tailed Deer/Game Birds – Draw and Regular Licences)
2015	CDMS, Spotlight Survey, Pronghorn Herd Structure Survey, Saskatchewan Upland Game Bird Survey, HHS (Moose/Elk/Mule Deer/White-tailed Deer/Game Birds/Black Bear – Draw and Regular Licences), Population Structure Survey (Dana Hills & Parkside - Elk)
2016	CDMS, Spotlight Survey, Pronghorn Herd Structure Survey, Saskatchewan Upland Game Bird Survey, HHS (Moose/Elk/Mule Deer/White-tailed Deer/Game Birds/Black Bear – Draw and Regular Licences), Population Structure Survey (Moose Mountain Provincial Park - Elk)
2017	CWMS, Spotlight Survey, Pronghorn Herd Structure Survey, HHS (Moose/Elk/Mule Deer/White-tailed Deer/Game Birds/Black Bear/Caribou – Draw and Regular Licences), ASFS, Population Structure Survey (WMZ 67 – Moose)

Outfitting in Saskatchewan

Outfitters and guides employed by outfitters offer both residents and visitors to the province access to a wide variety of hunting and angling experiences. Although anyone can access the services outfitters supply, over 90 per cent of hunters using these services are non-residents, in part because some licences require the use of an outfitter, such as guided white-tailed deer licences. The number of outfitters in Saskatchewan has remained quite stable throughout the years, with anywhere between 620 and 630 licensed outfitters in any given year. Each outfitter has an assigned outfitting area (AOA), with the exception of game bird outfitters and bear and moose outfitters in the north (WMZs 70 to 72 and 74 to 76), and their licence includes a list of species for which they are endorsed to provide outfitting services. For big game AOAs, each area has an assigned allocation by species. Currently, approximately 200 outfitters have white-tailed deer allocations, 320 have bear allocations, 240 have bird allocations (both migratory birds and upland birds), and 75 have moose allocations. At present, no new allocations are available and the only way to obtain an allocation is for an existing outfitter to surrender it and a new outfitter to then apply for it. This often occurs when outfitting businesses are sold.

Outfitting provides significant revenue for the province, with an estimated \$40 million generated by outfitted hunting in Saskatchewan in 2006 (Derek Murray Consulting Agencies 2006). This includes payments to outfitters, tourism expenditures other than those paid to outfitters and licensing costs.

STATUS OF SPECIES IN SASKATCHEWAN

White-tailed Deer (*Odocoileus virginianus dakotensis*)

Saskatchewan's white-tailed deer are a highly valued game species. They are considered the most abundant and widely-distributed ungulate in Saskatchewan, living in diverse habitats across the province south of the Pre-Cambrian shield (Figure 2), with a preference for open hardwood forests that border native grasslands or agricultural fields. Saskatchewan represents part of the northern-most extent of their North American range where population change is largely driven by winter severity, which impacts body condition, restricts mobility and reduces access to quality forage. After humans, coyotes and wolves represent their most important predators south and north of the forest fringe, respectively.

Population Status

The status of white-tailed deer populations in the province is monitored annually using ground-based population trend surveys, hunter harvest surveys, a citizen-science population structure survey and field reports. Ministry staff conduct annual ground-based spotlight surveys in 19 WMZs across the province, providing data that indicates potential changes in regional populations over time. Results of the HHS provide estimates of the number of white-tailed deer hunters and their harvest success. The CWMS is a long-standing voluntary survey where participants record and report their deer observations, providing important population structure information. In 2017, more deer observations were made using the newly launched CWMS App for smartphones than traditional paper booklets. Field reports from landowners, stakeholder groups, the general public and ministry staff provide additional information. At one time aerial surveys yielded valuable estimates of white-tailed deer population density in select regions of the province (Table 5). In order to support a stronger science-based approach to management, the feasibility of a white-tailed deer aerial survey program in study areas that represent white-tailed deer management units (Figure 2) is currently being explored.

Survey Data

In 2017, white-tailed deer population trends were assessed using ground-based spotlight surveys (Table 6), indicating a stable or slightly increasing white-tailed deer population overall. Note that poor weather and road conditions limited the completion of eight spotlight routes across the province. Population structure data collected through the CWMS (Table 7) and harvest data from the HHS also inform white-tailed deer management (Tables 8 and 9).




Figure 2. White-tailed deer range in Saskatchewan, including ten white-tailed deer management units (WTDMU) delineated by ecozone, key winter habitat availability as identified by the 1982 Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Inventory and Wildlife Management Zones.

Table 5. White-tailed deer population and density data collected intermittently in select WMZs by aerial survey (1994-2017).

Survey Area	Year	Population Estimate	Density (km ²)
WMZ 29	2007-2008	5,818 ± 17.5%	1.07
	2008-2009	5,317 ± 16.0%	0.99
WMZ 32	1994-1995	---	1.70
	2000-2001	1,302 ± 17.3%	0.87
WMZ 34	1996-1997	---	2.66
	2008-2009	1,929 ± 19.4%	1.84
WMZ 45	2008-2009	3,743 ± 16.5%	0.81
WMZ 46	2000-2001	2,702 ± 14.7%	1.00
	2008-2009	5,179 ± 19.1%	1.84
WMZ 50 (Herd Reduction Area)	2006-2007	2,351 ± 8.9%	1.37
WMZ 50 (Transition)	2007-2008	407 ± 20.8%	0.33
WMZ 56	2003-2004	19,500 ± 20.8%	3.00
	2007-2008	8,716 ± 18.8%	1.47
WMZ 63, 64 and 65	2004-2005	949 ± 25.5%	0.11
	2007-2008	688 ± 29.6%	0.08
WMZ 67	2003-2004	17,813 ± 18.4%	2.85
	2007-2008	13,145 ± 17.9%	2.20

Table 6. Spotlight survey population trends for white-tailed deer presented as deer per linear mile observed in 2016 and 2017 compared to the long-term average (LTA) (1983-2017) where possible. "--"= data not available, *= new route established in 2014 or 2015, **= adjusted route.

	LTA Deer/Mile	2016 Deer/Mile	2017 Deer/Mile	2017 % change from LTA	2017 % change from 2016
WMZ 1*	--	0.45	0.58	--	29%
WMZ 6	0.65	0.90	--	--	--
WMZ 10	0.4	--	--	--	--
WMZ 11	1.73	--	--	--	--
WMZ 14	0.47	--	--	--	--
WMZ 18**	--	--	0.34	--	--
WMZ 21	--	2.40	1.78	--	-26%
WMZ 23*	--	1.45	--	--	--
WMZ 29	--	1.33	--	--	--
WMZ 46	0.78	0.75	0.93	-3%	24%
WMZ 32	1.01	--	0.92	-10%	--
WMZ 34**	--	1.16	0.59	--	-49%
WMZ 37	1.57	1.17	1.26	-20%	8%
WMZ 39	0.74	0.63	0.61	-17%	-3%
WMZ 42*	--	0.63	0.94	--	48%
WMZ 47**	--	--	--	--	--
WMZ 49*	--	1.63	1.14	--	-30%
WMZ 50**	--	1.6	1.95	--	22%
WMZ 54*	--	0.42	0.43	--	0%

Table 7. Estimated provincial white-tailed deer population structure based on 2017 CWMS field observations as compared to the 35-year LTA (1983-2017) in the grassland (WMZs 1-14), farmland (WMZs 15-30), parkland (WMZs 31-47), forest fringe (WMZs 48-55), forest (WMZs 56-69) and northern forest (WMZs 70-76) ecozones. Data collected through both the traditional booklet and new CWMS smartphone App is indicated by “*”.

Year	<u>Grassland</u>			<u>Farmland</u>			<u>Parkland</u>			<u>Forest Fringe</u>			<u>Forest</u>			<u>Province</u>		
	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n
2008	0.39	0.64	1,032	0.39	0.84	2,625	0.41	0.86	4,373	0.4	0.81	1,867	0.32	0.83	424	0.4	0.82	10,321
2009	0.42	0.75	1,011	0.4	0.79	3,153	0.37	0.77	3,548	0.41	0.79	1,486	0.28	0.72	376	0.39	0.77	9,574
2010	0.29	0.59	1,963	0.35	0.78	2,798	0.36	0.77	4,847	0.42	0.84	2,892	0.27	0.73	662	0.35	0.76	13,162
2011	0.26	0.63	1,460	0.35	0.77	2,322	0.34	0.64	3,483	0.43	0.9	1,597	0.4	0.83	614	0.35	0.72	9,476
2012	0.35	0.67	971	0.44	0.82	2,343	0.33	0.64	3,340	0.33	0.74	1,664	0.33	0.73	432	0.36	0.71	8,750
2013	0.38	0.59	744	0.38	0.59	1,462	0.39	0.65	1,553	0.36	0.66	915	0.38	0.62	268	0.38	0.62	4,942
2014	0.48	0.67	1,161	0.37	0.69	1,228	0.35	0.69	2,061	0.42	0.71	507	0.44	0.45	125	0.39	0.68	5,135
2015	0.36	0.69	1,057	0.36	0.86	1,190	0.36	0.87	1,526	0.37	0.91	612	0.48	1.15	118	0.37	0.84	4,503
2016	0.49	0.94	1,057	0.36	0.80	1,250	0.37	0.93	1,525	0.28	0.95	609	0.45	0.89	126	0.37	0.90	4,567
2017 *	0.39	0.78	1,347	0.39	0.80	2,061	0.36	0.82	2,540	0.46	0.71	682	0.46	0.69	101	0.39	0.79	6,731
LTA (35 Year)	0.37	0.81	---	0.40	0.86	---	0.41	0.93	---	0.41	0.95	---	0.42	0.85	---	0.38	0.77	---

Biological Sample Collections

In 2017, hunters were encouraged to submit the heads of harvested animals for CWD testing to improve our understanding of CWD prevalence and distribution in Saskatchewan. In 2017, a total of 371 white-tailed deer were submitted by hunters for CWD testing; 11 (or three per cent) tested positive for CWD. For more information, please refer to the chronic wasting disease section (pg. 19).

General Overview

White-tailed deer were estimated to be rather abundant between 2004 and 2006 and have since declined due to winter mortality associated with severe winter conditions during the winters of 2005-06, 2010-11, 2012-13 and 2013-14. This series of winter mortality events weakened reproductive age classes and the lasting effects of this are still evident (Figure 3). Although white-tailed deer population trends across the province are currently estimated to be slightly increasing due to mild winters in 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17, full recovery from recent winter mortality events will require additional years of mild winter conditions. Note that the late spring green up of 2017-18 may further impede this recovery.

Grassland and farmland populations (WMZ 1-30) remained stable and near their long-term average size in the mid-2000s, but there was concern over lower productivity relative to the 1980s and early 1990s. Few spotlight surveys were able to be conducted in the grassland in 2017 therefore it is difficult to assess whether grassland white-tailed deer populations have recovered from the recent severe winters. In general, populations located in grassland and farmland zones (WMZ 15-30) are limited by the shortage of quality wintering habitat, particularly on the west side, which limits population size and growth potential.

Over the history of monitoring white-tailed deer in the parkland (WMZ 31-47) using spotlight surveys, populations have appeared to undergo considerable fluctuations as a result of severe winter conditions and late spring green up. Spotlight surveys conducted in 2014 and 2015 indicated declining population trends for many routes compared to the long term average. The 2017 spotlight surveys in the parkland indicate that white-tailed deer populations have not changed from 2016.

Forest Fringe (WMZ 48-55) populations are subject to higher winter mortality on a more frequent basis relative to southern populations. However, mild winters from the mid-1990s to 2005 allowed population growth in central and western areas. Until the severe winter of 2005-06, winter populations in the forest fringe were estimated at greater than 50 per cent above the long-term average. Populations remained stable following the 2005-06 decline until the severe winters of 2010-11, 2012-13 and 2013-14 which caused further local declines, particularly on in the eastern portion of the forest fringe. Population trend data for white-tailed deer in the forest fringe for 2015 through 2017 indicate these populations are recovering from recent winter mortality events.




Figure 3. Schematic of the effect of severe winter conditions on all age classes in a deer population over time. Note that it takes multiple years for a population to recover from a winter mortality event due to the impact to the reproductive age classes.

Field reports suggest that forest (WMZ 56-69) populations in the southern boreal forest grew steadily from the mid-1990s onwards due to a series of mild winters, peaking in the mid-2000s. At this time, western forest residents reported deer numbers at an all-time high. Some winter mortality occurred in 2004-05 (central regions), 2005-06 (central and eastern regions) and 2006-07 (all forest WMZs), but declines were reportedly most obvious in the central and eastern forest. Between 2007 and 2010, several consecutive mild winters is thought to have allowed populations in the forest to recover. However, the winters of 2010-11, 2012-13 and 2013-14 likely set population recovery back, particularly in the east. In 2017, field reports indicate that white-tailed deer in the forest are continuing their recovery from this series of severe winters.

Northern forest populations (WMZ 70-76) are small and it is believed they suffered significant winter mortality from 2010-2014. Little is known about northern forest white-tailed deer populations in Saskatchewan.

Hunting Season Review

The ministry strives to provide harvest opportunities for white-tailed deer according to Saskatchewan’s Game Allocation Framework. The Saskatchewan resident regular licence and Canadian resident draw licence are either-sex licences that have historically placed increased hunting pressure on the buck component of the population. Antlerless licences apply pressure to the reproductive component of the

population and can be used to reduce deer numbers or offset pressure on the buck component, balancing the post-harvest population structure. The harvest of antlerless white-tailed deer will largely depend on estimates of fall recruitment and the social tolerance for a given WMZ.

In 2017, both resident either sex and antlerless white-tailed deer licence sales remained relatively low compared to historic years when populations were high, due to the still reduced number of deer on the landscape and the fact that only a few zones were open to antlerless hunting. Saskatchewan resident white-tailed deer regular licence sales in 2017 were 40,217. Canadian resident licences sales remained reduced at 1,005 with fewer licences available in 2017 (provincial quota=1,270). Non-resident licence sales remained stable in 2017.

Similar to licence sales, trends in resident either-sex harvest success indicate a decrease in harvest in recent years, likely as a result of the low numbers due to the recent series of hard winters. Note that harvest data for resident antlerless and Canadian resident draw harvest is not available prior to 2013 and was not surveyed in 2014 or 2015. In 2017, Saskatchewan resident white-tailed deer licence holders had an estimated harvest success rate of 59 per cent, based on a 25 per cent HHS response rate.

Table 8. Estimated white-tailed deer harvested by Saskatchewan and Canadian resident hunters in Saskatchewan for years when data was collected (2008-2017) relative to the 10-year mean (2000-2009) when harvest data was collected using paper mail-in surveys. After 2013, harvest data was collected using an online survey.

Licence Type	Hunt Year	Estimated Harvest					Hunter-Days
		Males	Females	Young	Unknown	Total	
Saskatchewan Resident Either-Sex	2008	21,186	4,386	917	333	26,822	277,936
	2009	20,012	11,612	2,035	374	34,033	455,435
	2013	13,200	3,875	904	0	17,979	203,431
	2014	10,103	2,632	554	0	13,290	242,581
	2015	15,722	3,259	444	0	19,424	245,236
	2016	17,253	3,695	520	0	21,468	265,716
	2017	19,781	3,649	471	0	23,901	243,997
	Mean (10 yr)		21,655	6,394	1,312	123	29,483
Saskatchewan Resident Antlerless	2013	0	833	192	0	1,025	26,285
	2017	---	---	---	---	---	---
Canadian Resident	2013	1,704	93	10	0	1,808	13,479
	2016	483	49	2	0	534	4,710
	2017	608	47	4	0	659	4,634

Table 9. White-tailed deer harvested by non-resident (guided) hunters in Saskatchewan (2007-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Licence Type	Hunt Year	Estimated Harvest					Hunter-Days
		Males	Females	Young	Unknown	Total	
Guided White-tailed Deer Licence	2008	2,447	5	0	5	2,457	14,983
	2009	1,673	0	0	5	1,678	11,010
	2010	2,011	4	0	28	2,043	10,959
	2011	1,868	3	---	7	1,878	10,545
	2012	1,900	2	---	29	1,931	9,568
	2013	1,509	3	0	39	1,551	9,896
	2014	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2015	1,389	1	0	9	1,399	7,570
	2016	1,399	3	0	43	1,445	8,956
	2017	---	---	---	---	---	---

Although outfitter clients hunt with either-sex licences, their harvest is almost exclusively of bucks (Table 9). Additionally, compared to resident hunters, non-resident hunters are thought to harvest a larger proportion of teenage (2.5 to 3.5 years) and mature bucks (>4.5 years) and a comparatively smaller proportion of yearling bucks, though data is lacking to confirm this trend.

Research Initiatives

Two research projects relevant to white-tailed deer management were conducted in 2016 in partnership with the School of Environment and Sustainability at the University of Saskatchewan. The first reviewed methods for estimating white-tailed deer populations based on camera trap sightings and the second identified “engagement with wildlife management” and “appreciation for nature” as important drivers of public participation in CWMS. In 2017, social science research on the social tolerance of white-tailed deer in Saskatchewan was initiated in partnership with the School of Environment and Sustainability at the University of Saskatchewan. Results will be included in the 2018 Saskatchewan Wildlife Management Report.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Consistently monitor white-tailed deer populations within WTDMUs to detect population changes.
- Enhance our understanding of existing important white-tailed deer winter habitat across the province and support initiatives that improve the availability and connectivity of this habitat in WTDMU deemed capable of supporting viable populations.
- Monitor human-white-tailed deer interactions and enhance our understanding of stakeholder perceptions, values and tolerances of white-tailed deer.

- Annually allocate white-tailed deer surplus using a sustainable harvest strategy.
- Maintain harvest opportunities that respect the temporal aspect of white-tailed deer breeding ecology by adjusting season dates to avoid the peak of the rut (i.e., when 50 per cent of females are considered bred).

Short-term Management Strategies

- Adjust harvest pressure using indicators, such as population structure or hunter harvest success, that align with management thresholds as presented in the white-tailed deer management plan.
- Quantify annual winter severity in all WTDMU across the province using mean weekly snow depth and temperature data.
- Improve our understanding of the distribution and prevalence of diseases affecting white-tailed deer, particularly Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD).
- Identify social tolerance thresholds for white-tailed deer south of the provincial forest.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan: None available.

For additional information, please contact:

Allison Henderson, PhD
White-tailed Deer Manager
Fish and Wildlife Branch
Ministry of Environment
120 Smith Street East, Yorkton, SK S3N 3V3
(306) 786-1425
allison.henderson@gov.sk.ca

Mule Deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*)

Mule deer, named for their large mule-like ears, are most commonly found in the dry and moist grassland and parkland regions of the Saskatchewan. Although mule deer are known to thrive in early successional habitats where forbs, grasses and shrubs dominate, they have readily adapted to forage opportunistically on agricultural crops in both grassland and parkland regions of Saskatchewan. Much like white-tailed deer, Saskatchewan represents part of the northern-most extent of their North American range where population change is largely driven by winter severity, which impacts body condition, restricts mobility and reduces access to quality forage. After humans, coyotes represent their most important predators.

Population Status

The status of mule deer populations in the province is monitored annually using ground-based population trend surveys, hunter harvest surveys, a citizen-science population structure survey and field reports. Ministry staff conduct annual ground-based spotlight surveys in 10 WMZs across the province, providing data that indicates potential changes in regional populations over time. Results of the HHS provide estimates of the number of mule deer hunters and their harvest success. The CWMS is a long-standing voluntary survey where participants record and report their deer observations, providing important population structure information. Field reports from landowners, stakeholder groups, the general public and ministry staff provide additional information. At one time aerial surveys yielded valuable estimates of mule deer population density in select regions of the province (Table 10). In order to support a stronger science-based approach to management, the feasibility of a mule deer aerial survey program is currently being explored.

Survey Data

In 2017, mule deer population trends were difficult to assess using ground-based spotlight surveys, given that very few routes were completed as a result of poor weather and road conditions (Table 11). Of the few that were conducted, they indicate an increasing mule deer population. Population structure data collected through the CWMS (Table 12) and harvest data also inform mule deer management (Table 13).

Table 10. Mule deer population and density data collected by aerial survey (1994-2017).

Survey Area	Year	Population Estimate	Density (km ²)
WMZ 2	2007-2008	13,343 ± 20.0%	1.49
WMZ 9	2007-2008	3,864 ± 17.7%	1.07
WMZ 10	2006-2007	10,170 ± 19.0%	2.72
	2008-2009	7,952 ± 18.3%	2.08
WMZ 14W (Herd Reduction Area)	2006-2007	3,984 ± 17.4%	1.02
WMZ 14E (Herd Reduction Area)	2006-2007	4,662 ± 19.9%	0.67
WMZ 29	2007-2008	7,171 ± 17.9%	1.32
	2008-2009	4,035 ± 13.5%	0.75
WMZ 45	2008-2009	3,347 ± 20.4%	0.72
WMZ 46	2000-2001	2,930 ± 19.1%	1.09
	2008-2009	4,697 ± 19.0%	1.67

Table 11. Spotlight survey population trends for mule deer presented as deer per linear mile observed in 2016 and 2017 compared to the long-term average (LTA) (1983-2017) where possible. “---”= data not available, *= new route established in 2014 or 2015, **= adjusted route.

Route (WMZ)	LTA Deer/Mile	2016 Deer/Mile	2017 Deer/Mile	% Change (2017 From LTA)	% Change (2017 From 2016)
WMZ 1*	---	0.64	1.19	---	85%
WMZ 6	0.45	0.46	---	---	---
WMZ 10	1.14	---	---	---	---
WMZ 11	1.31	---	---	---	---
WMZ 14	0.26	---	---	---	---
WMZ 18*	---	---	1.27	---	---
WMZ 21*	---	0.10	0.25	---	155%
WMZ 23*	---	0.86	---	---	---
WMZ 29	0.92	1.19	---	---	---
WMZ 46	0.75	1.16	1.4	87%	21%

Table 12. Provincial mule deer population structure based on results of the 2017 CWMS and compared to the long-term average (LTA) (1983-2017) in the grassland (WMZs 1-14), farmland (WMZs 15-30), parkland (WMZs 31-47), forest fringe (WMZs 48-55), forest (WMZs 56-69) and northern forest (WMZs 70-76). Data not available is indicated by “---” and data collected through both the traditional booklet and new CWMS smartphone App is indicated by “*”.

Year	<u>Grassland</u>			<u>Farmland</u>			<u>Parkland</u>			<u>Forest Fringe</u>			<u>Forest</u>			<u>Province</u>		
	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n	Bucks /Doe	Fawns /Doe	n
2007	0.66	0.71	2,164	0.49	0.84	1,780	0.4	0.62	740	0.41	0.59	54	0.5	---	6	0.55	0.74	4,744
2008	0.67	0.76	1,679	0.62	0.79	2,519	0.44	0.73	907	0.59	0.98	113	---	2	3	0.6	0.77	5,221
2009	0.65	0.76	1,674	0.65	0.72	1,662	0.59	0.71	1,014	0.46	0.64	59	---	0.33	4	0.63	0.73	4,413
2010	0.6	0.65	1,735	0.52	0.66	2,044	0.45	0.59	1,225	0.28	1.06	218	2	---	6	0.52	0.65	5,228
2011	0.56	0.61	1,445	0.55	0.73	1,689	0.43	0.72	836	0.21	0.65	89	---	---	1	0.52	0.68	4,060
2012	0.69	0.68	1,075	0.58	0.71	1,856	0.39	0.64	1,099	0.37	1.16	170	---	1.25	9	0.54	0.7	4,209
2013	0.76	0.58	935	0.55	0.53	1,286	0.42	0.67	707	0.18	0.59	69	---	---	---	0.48	0.59	2,928
2014	0.67	0.74	1,643	0.5	0.76	1,437	0.43	0.64	797	0.2	0.29	67	---	---	1	0.45	0.61	3,944
2015	0.7	0.82	2,158	0.48	0.7	1,493	0.4	0.66	508	0.38	0.89	41	---	---	---	0.57	0.75	4,200
2016	0.57	1.08	1,902	0.48	0.63	1,288	0.56	0.64	890	0.43	0.8	83	---	---	---	0.53	0.82	4,163
2017*	0.55	0.71	2,088	0.46	0.72	2,160	0.46	0.7	1,121	0.42	0.58	125	---	---	---	0.49	0.71	5,494
LTA (35 Year)	0.55	0.77	---	0.5	0.76	---	0.46	0.75	---	0.42	0.78	---	---	0.69	---	0.52	0.77	---

Biological Sample Collections

In 2017, hunters were encouraged to submit the heads of harvested animals for CWD testing to improve our understanding of CWD prevalence and distribution in Saskatchewan. In 2017, a total of 298 mule deer were submitted by hunters for CWD testing; 63 (or 21 per cent) tested positive for CWD. For more information, please refer to chronic wasting disease section (pg. 19).

General Overview

In 2017, survey and field report information indicated that provincial mule deer populations were stable or increasing as they continued to recover from the recent series of severe winters (2010-2014). Field reports indicated that populations in select WMZ south of the forest fringe had fully recovered and were increasing above socially-tolerable levels. In 2017, more deer observations were made using the newly launched CWMS App for smartphones than traditional paper booklets. Provincial mule deer buck:doe and fawn:doe ratios were increasing, but remained below the long-term average in the farmland, parkland and forest fringe and were very close to the long-term average in the grassland.

Hunting Season Review

Saskatchewan resident hunters who have been selected in the big game draw have the option to hunt with an antlerless licence (with a bag limit of one or two animals, depending on the WMZ) and/or a mule deer either-sex licence. In 2017, an archery-only regular either-sex licence was also available for Saskatchewan residents. Either-sex licences result in increased hunting pressure on the buck component of the population. Antlerless licences attempt to offset this effect, balancing the harvest structure. Canadian resident and non-resident hunters do not have the opportunity to hunt mule deer in Saskatchewan.

In 2017, a reduced quota was maintained in order to allow mule deer populations to fully recover from the recent series of severe winters and as such licence sales remained low (3,993) compared to prior to the series of severe winters pre-2010 (6,377). In contrast, archery licence sales remained elevated and close to the five-year average in 2017, with 2,941 licences sold. Similar to licence sales, harvest of mule deer on an archery licence remained relatively stable between 2016 and 2017. Either-sex mule deer harvest on a draw licence increased slightly.

Table 13. Mule deer harvested by resident hunters in Saskatchewan (2008-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Licence Type	Year	Harvest					Hunter-Days
		Bucks	Does	Fawns	Unknown	Total	
Draw Either- Sex Mule Deer	2008	5,899	8,901	2,260	66	17,126	101,797
	2009	3,688	7,618	1,883	134	13,323	72,659
	2010	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2011	---	---	---	---	---	---
	2012	2,642	255	58	0	2,990	19,017
	2013	2,601	247	97	0	2,945	18,607
	2014*	1,806	136	43	0	1,985	17,814
	2015	2,277	187	25	0	2,489	19,485
	2016	2,515	168	13	0	2,696	20,350
	2017	2,862	199	35	0	3,095	22,047
Archery Mule Deer	2013	606	89	10	0	705	19,648
	2014	288	48	12	0	348	17,429
	2015	421	58	7	0	486	17,264
	2016	395	97	0	0	492	20,882
	2017	440	62	0	0	511	20,978

*Not all zones surveyed in 2014.

Research Initiatives

No research initiatives were conducted during this time period.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Consistently monitor mule deer populations within mule deer management units (MDMUs) to detect population changes.
- Enhance our understanding of existing important mule deer winter habitat across the province and support initiatives that improve the availability and connectivity of this habitat in MDMUs deemed capable of supporting viable populations.
- Monitor human-mule deer interactions and enhance our understanding of stakeholder perceptions, values and tolerances of mule deer.
- Annually allocate mule deer surplus using a sustainable harvest strategy.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Adjust harvest pressure using indicators, such as population structure or hunter harvest success, that align with management thresholds as presented in the mule deer management plan.
- Improve our understanding of the distribution and prevalence of diseases affecting mule deer, particularly Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD).

- Quantify annual winter severity in all MDMUs across the province using mean weekly snow depth and temperature data.
- Identify social tolerance thresholds for mule deer south of the provincial forest.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan: None available.

For additional information, please contact:

Todd Whiklo
Mule Deer Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Ministry of Environment
350 Cheadle St. W. Swift Current, SK S9H 4G3
(306) 778-8262
Todd.Whiklo@gov.sk.ca

Elk (*Cervus canadensis*)

Elk are one of Saskatchewan’s largest ungulates. Found throughout the province, elk are known to prefer fringe landscapes that contain a mix of sufficient forest cover and open grassland or cropland. Elk are abundant throughout Saskatchewan’s forest fringe and sustainable populations can also be found in Moose Mountain, Duck Mountain and Cypress Hills Provincial Parks. Semi-isolated populations of elk can also be found throughout Parkland and Grassland regions of the province.

Population Status

Similar to other large ungulates, elk populations are primarily governed by hunting mortality, predation, disease (e.g., CWD) and severe weather events (e.g., high winter precipitation). As a result, elk populations may fluctuate from year to year with changing conditions. As elk use of a landscape is generally related to a low degree of human disturbance, anthropogenic development of suitable habitat has one of the greatest potentials to influence elk population persistence on the Parkland and Grassland landscapes.

Elk populations in the province are monitored using a variety of population indicator data, including aerial survey data, annual hunter harvest survey results, co-operative wildlife management survey submissions, Saskatchewan Crop Insurance Corporation (SCIC) reports, as well as field reports from the public and ministry staff. This information is subsequently used to guide elk management in Saskatchewan.

Survey Data

No new aerial survey data was collected for elk in 2017-18 (Table 14).

Table 14. Elk population, density and herd structure data collected on aerial surveys (1982-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Survey Area	Year	Population Estimate ± Confidence Limits	Density (km ²)	Herd Structure (Bull:Cow:Calf)
WMZ 1 (Wood Mountain Area)	2007-2008	125 ± 0%	0.14	---
WMZ 6 and Cypress Hills Park	2006-2007	624 ± 0%	0.29	---
WMZ 33(Moose Mountain Provincial Park)	1997-1998	289 ± 3.3%	0.26	91:100:17
	2008-2009	1285 ± 0%	0.74	30:100:48
	2012-2013	1212 ± 0%	0.70	42:100:47
	2016-2017	1135 ± 0%	0.66	35:100:52
WMZ 37 (Duck Mountain Provincial Park)	1997-1998	217 ± 16.4%	0.32	---
WMZ 41 (Dana Hills)	2015-2016	330 ± 0 %	0.49	46:100:41
WMZ 54	2000-2001	172 ± 0%	---	41:100:50
WMZ 54 (Parkside)	2015-2016	139 ± 0%	0.25	32:100:15
Fort a la Corne	1982-1983	477 ± 27%	0.5	---
	2005-2006	620 ± 0%	0.29	37:100:34

In autumn 2017, the Ministry of Environment launched a mobile application version of the CWMS, which included the ability for public participants to record elk observations. The key recording period for elk has been set to August 20 to February 20. In 2017, there were 151 total elk observations recorded with the CWMS app, resulting in 1,130 elk observed in 30 WMZs and a herd structure estimate of 235 bulls: 327 cows: 204 calves (see Figure 4 below).




Figure 4. Number of elk observations summarized per wildlife management zone recorded with the Co-operative Wildlife Management Survey mobile application in 2017.

Biological Sample Collections

In 2017, heads from harvested elk were eligible for voluntary CWD testing. A total of 42 elk heads were submitted for CWD testing, with one elk testing positive for CWD in WMZ 43. For more information on the CWD harvest sampling program please refer to the chronic wasting disease section (pg. 19).

General Overview

Elk population abundance, trends and hunting opportunities vary regionally throughout the province (Figure 5 below). According to information including the annual hunter harvest survey, expert surveys and field reports, most elk populations in core areas of elk range along the boreal forest fringe remain stable following consecutive mild winters. Field reports of high predator numbers and past changes in management objectives may have reduced some elk populations throughout this area. Management in core elk range will continue to focus on maintaining sustainable populations of elk.

Elk populations have grown and in some cases expanded throughout Parkland and Grassland regions of the province over the past several years. Factors contributing to the apparent population increase and expansion are likely due to a combination of mild winters, excess in forage, low levels of predation and the mobile and gregarious nature of elk. In Moose Mountain Provincial Park, elk populations remain above target objectives following mild winters and relatively difficult (e.g., wet) conditions during recent hunting seasons.




Figure 5. Relative abundance map of elk in Saskatchewan in 2017. Elk abundance estimates were based on a variety of data sources, including: aerial surveys, cooperative wildlife management submissions, public and Ministry of Environment staff field reports and expert opinion. Saskatchewan WMZ boundaries are included for reference.

Hunting Season Review

Saskatchewan residents have several options for harvesting elk in the province. Elk are included in the big game draw, and applicants apply for either an either-sex or antlerless licence depending on the zone. Over-the-counter elk licences can be obtained for one either-sex animal or one bull, depending on the zone of interest. The variety of licences allows hunters to be selective in what they harvest. Canadian resident and non-resident hunters do not have the opportunity to hunt elk in Saskatchewan.

In 2017, additional elk hunting opportunity was made available in WMZ 33 (including Moose Mountain Provincial Park) in order to stabilize elk population growth in the region. Hunters were given the ability to apply to be drawn for either one of two unique antlerless elk seasons occurring from October 15 – 24 and December 10 – 19 (i.e., WMZ 33 A1) or from November 1 – 9 and January 10 – 19 (i.e., WMZ 33A2). Results from the special split hunt can be found in Table 15 below.

The total number of draw licences sold in 2017 increased from 2,891 in 2016 to 3,304 in 2017 (Appendix A). In comparison, the 10-year average (2008-2017) for the number of draw elk licences was 2,947. The number of draw applications submitted for elk continue to increase, with 29,827 applications submitted in 2017. On average, the number of draw applications over the past ten years (2007-2016) was 16,555. The number of regular elk licences sold in 2017 of 6,331 was also an increase from the previous year of 6,174, sold in 2016.

In 2017, all draw and regular elk hunters received a hunter harvest survey. The 2017 harvest survey response rate for either-sex draw licenses was 38 per cent and 34 per cent for antlerless elk draw hunters. The response rate for regular season elk hunters was 28 per cent, which was a five per cent increase in response rate from 2016 (23 per cent).

To improve hunter harvest survey accuracy to better understand if target harvest objectives were achieved, a follow up survey request was emailed to all successful either-sex and antlerless elk draw applicants for WMZ 33 (including Moose Mountain Provincial Park) who did not fill out a hunter harvest survey following the 2017 hunting season. An additional 65 out of 310 (21 per cent reporting rate) hunters who did not complete the original survey responded to the follow up email survey, resulting in an increase in response rate for all license types, and a total response rate of: 66 per cent for either-sex hunters, 46 per cent for antlerless season one hunter and 38 per cent for antlerless season two hunters (see Table 15 below).

Table 15. Results of the 2017 follow-up WMZ 33 email hunter harvest survey.

Licence Type	Quota	# of Licences Purchased	HHS Response Rate (%)	Estimated HHS Harvest Success (%)	Total Response Rate (%)*	Total Harvest Success (%)*
Either-Sex	75	72	36	50	66	38
Antlerless 1	175	150	34	16	46	15
Antlerless 2	175	141	21	22	38	13

*Total estimates were adjusted to account for additional hunter responses that originally did not complete a hunter harvest survey, but completed the follow-up email survey.

The total number of elk estimated to be killed during provincial elk seasons in 2017 was 3,271, of which 1,566 elk were hunted through the draw and 1,703 were hunted during the regular season (Table 16 below). The total estimated number of bulls killed was 1,559 (513 in draw; 1,046 in regular), in comparison to 1,395 cows (876 draw; 519 regular) and 315 calves (177 draw; 138 regular).

Table 16. Estimated elk harvested by resident hunters in Saskatchewan (2008–2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Year	Season	Bulls	Cows	Calves	Total
2007	Combined	1,513	2,034	418	3,978
2008	Combined	1,147	1,356	289	2,840
2009	Combined	1,367	1,312	395	3,214
2010	---	---	---	---	---
2011*	Draw	259	848	183	1,292
2012*	Draw	357	671	115	1,144
2013	Draw	392	677	153	1,222
	Regular	1,015	714	239	1,968
	Combined	1,407	1,391	392	3,190
2014	Draw	371	573	121	1,065
	Regular	1,125	736	242	2,103
	Combined	1,496	1,309	363	3,168
2015	Draw	455	420	110	985
	Regular	974	504	193	1,671
	Combined	1,429	924	303	2,656
2016	Draw	493	673	139	1,305
	Regular	1,107	556	155	1,818
	Combined	1,600	1,229	294	3,123
2017	Draw	513	876	177	1,566
	Regular	1,046	519	138	1,703
	Combined	1,559	1,395	315	3,271

*Only draw licence harvest collected.

Research Initiatives

No research initiatives were conducted during this time period.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Complete the updated Management Plan for Elk in Saskatchewan.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Maintain stable elk populations within social and ecological carrying capacity throughout the province.

- Promote the HHS to prospective elk hunters.
- Promote the CWMS mobile application for the public to record locations of elk to assist in management.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan:

Arsenault, A. 2008. Saskatchewan elk (*Cervus elaphus*) Management Plan – Update. Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment, Fish and Wildlife Branch Technical Report 2008-02. 63pp. (Unpublished)

For additional information, please contact:

Tom Perry
Elk Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch, Ministry of Environment
800 Central Avenue, Prince Albert SK., S6V 6G1
(306) 953-2695
tom.perry@gov.sk.ca

Moose (*Alces alces*)

Moose, the largest member of the deer family, historically inhabited all regions of the province, with the exception of the mixed grassland ecoregion, but since settlement of the prairies, have been restricted to boreal regions of the province dominated by spruce, aspen and pine trees (Figure 6). Cover and browse availability were thought to be the limiting factors to moose distribution from the forest and forest fringe ecoregions. Additionally, it was believed that temperature (particularly heat in the absence of cover) in the south would limit their expansion into more southern regions. Although we are only beginning to understand the factors underlying moose re-colonization of farmland and prairie regions of the province (See LaForge et al. 2016 for more detail), the combination of abnormally favourable climatic conditions, lack of predators, decline in the rural population of Saskatchewan, and the presence of optimal foraging conditions have likely contributed to moose survival in southern Saskatchewan.

Population Status

Moose populations are monitored annually using information gathered from aerial population surveys, the hunter harvest survey, the co-operative wildlife management survey, relevant research conducted by external organizations and field reports from the general public, landowners and ministry staff.

Survey Data

There were no aerial surveys for moose in 2017 (Table 17). Due to poor snow conditions, a planned survey in WMZ 67 was cancelled. In October 2017, the co-operative wildlife management survey app was launched. Volunteers download the app to their smartphone and collect information on the number of moose observed, age (adult or young), and sex (male or female). In addition to the app, a paper survey form was also available. A total of 993 moose were observed. Herd structure within the southern farmland landscape (B:C:C) was 75:100:81. A note of caution as this was the first year for the app and there are a number of WMZs with only a few moose observations.

Table 17. Moose population, density and herd structure data collected on aerial surveys (2007-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Survey Area	Year	Population Estimate	Density (km ²)	Herd Structure (Bull:Cow:Calf)
WMZ 56	2006-2007	3,380 ± 19.8%	1.09	52:100:51
	2009-2010	2,490 ± 18.6%	0.82	21:100:53
	2014-2015	2,064 ± 20.1%	0.68	28:100:41
WMZ 57	2006-2007	1,898 ± 19.7%	0.76	34:100:43
	2009-2010	1,529 ± 15.7%	0.56	37:100:42
	2011-2012	1,257 ± 18.9%	0.46	47:100:35
	2014-2015	---	---	43:100:40
WMZ 59	2006-2007	2,181 ± 18.8%	0.45	41:100:28
	2009-2010	1,985 ± 20.9%	0.42	42:100:35
WMZ 67	2006-2007	2,021 ± 18.9%	0.32	42:100:55
	2009-2010	1,860 ± 18.4%	0.31	43:100:36
FALC	2005/2006	488 ± 0%	0.22	---
MMPP	2008-2009	---	0.50	---
	2012-2013	1,202 ± 0%	0.70	56:100:57




Figure 6. Moose Management Units (MMU), used by biologists to manage moose populations, are identified, along with moose habitat and WMZ boundaries.

Biological Sample Collections

Hunters and trappers have indicated to ministry staff that the moose population is declining in WMZs 56 and 57. In 2015, a disease prevalence/moose tissue sampling project was initiated in cooperation with the Canadian Wildlife Health Cooperative (CWHC). This was the third year of the tissue collection study. The project purpose is to look at disease prevalence with respect to several key diseases that affect moose (meningeal worm, winter tick, and giant liver fluke). Between 2015 and 2017, a total of 26 samples were collected from draw hunters in WMZ 56 and 57. Tissue samples included hair, liver, blood, feces and incisors.

General Overview

Moose in the boreal forest (WMZ 56-73) appear to be declining, and are below the long-term winter average. Moose in the forest fringe (WMZ 48-55) are stable and slightly above the long-term winter average. In both the parkland (WMZ 31-47) and farmland (WMZ 15-30), moose populations have stabilized, with some WMZs showing a decreasing population due to liberal licence quotas in the past several years. Isolated pockets of moose are located throughout the grassland (WMZ 1-14).

In 2008, concerns regarding conflicts with moose in southern agricultural wildlife management zones reached a point where it was necessary to introduce moose hunting seasons in a number of zones in order to reduce the moose population and the number of moose-human conflicts. Between 2010 and 2016, 23,799 moose licences were issued to hunters in WMZ 1-47, including Saskatoon WMZ and Regina-Moose Jaw WMZ. Hunter success averaged 83 per cent over a five-year period (2012-2016).

A provincial moose management plan is under development. The goal of the plan is to sustainably manage moose and their habitats in Saskatchewan. Moose will be managed as “northern” boreal populations and “southern” agricultural populations. Management of moose within the broader agricultural zone has to consider different issues than those considered for moose populations in the northern forest. There are four main objectives in the plan with several “management actions” described under each objective. The moose management plan should be available in 2019.

Winter tick (*Dermacentor albipictus*) loads throughout the province, were moderate to low during the winter of 2016-17. The spring of 2017 had low to moderate tick-related mortality. There were no new cases of meningeal worm (*Parelaphostongylus tenuis*) west of longitude 106° in 2017. Meningeal worm continues to be of low concern for moose in the province.

Hunting Season Review

Moose continue to be an important big game species in Saskatchewan and hunting opportunities remained good in 2017. Several boreal wildlife management zones on the east side of the province saw reduced draw quotas due to concerns over lower moose numbers in those zones. Residents have the opportunity to apply for either-sex or antlerless licences through the big game draw. All hunters can purchase regular “bull only” moose licences, while Canadian and non-resident hunters must purchase a Guided Moose Licence and hunt with an outfitter. In 2017, licence sales were down a second year in a row with 6,594 regular and 5,575 draw licences being sold, compared to the 7,221 regular and 5,572 draw licences sold in 2016 (Appendix A).

In 2017, a moose-specific hunter harvest survey was sent to all licensed draw and regular moose hunters. The return rate continues to be lower than expected. Results of this survey indicated that farmland zones continued to have high harvest success rates. Province-wide, calf, cow and bull harvest were down slightly (Table 18). Bull harvest in 2015 and 2016 appear higher than previous years, but this is due to the fact that regular hunters were surveyed for the first time since 2009.

Table 18. Moose harvest in 2017 compared to the 5-year mean (2013–2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Year	Bull	Cow	Calves	Unknown	Total
2009	2,254	1,145	483	80	3,963
2010	---	---	---	---	---
2011	1,401	1,250	518	0	3,173
2012	1,721	1,518	598	0	3,836
2013	1,737	2,006	793	0	4,536
2014*	1,954	2,020	678	0	4,652
2015	3,115	1,800	574	0	5,489
2016	2,893	1,762	574		5,229
2017	2,766	1,743	451		4,960
Mean	2,493	1,866	614	0	4,973

*Not all zones were surveyed and therefore estimate is derived from surveyed zones.

Research Initiatives

Between 2013 and 2016, a farmland moose research project was conducted in WMZs 22 and 23 (Figure 7). The study looked at habitat selection, home range size, seasonal and annual movement patterns, and assessed landscape features in relation to highway crossings. The initial stage of the project began with 19 female moose being fitted with GPS collars in February 2013. Another 21 animals were collared in March 2014. Hourly data locations were collected from each collar. Over 235,000 fixed locations were collected during the study. As predicted, tree-ringed wetlands are of significant importance in the summer for thermoregulation, and winter for cover (see Brook et al. 2016). Moose collared in the study area selected for a range of habitats and those habitats varied by season. Home range size was highly variable with an average size of 113 km². As expected, utilization of crops as forage was significant, with canola being browsed most often.

The study focused on adult females and therefore provided insight into reproduction and habitat selection during parturition. Average date of birth during 2012 and 2013 was May 21 (range May 13-29). Twinning rate was 36 per cent. Parturition sites were all in wetlands and riparian habitat, and the average wetland size was 3.45 ha.



Figure 7. Farmland moose study area for research project. Red line depicts Hwy # 11. (Adapted from Brook 2014)

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Maintain stable winter populations in moose management units (MMUs) 1, 3, and 6 to 19.
- Maintain the winter calf:cow ratio >40 calves/100 cows in all forest MMUs.
- Ensure moose are not adversely affected by land use activities occurring in primary moose habitat.
- Provide hunting opportunities that Saskatchewan sport hunters will take advantage of on an annual basis.

- Manage moose population numbers in farmland MMUs and WMZs that recognize concerns of local residents.
- Increase communication of moose biology, ecology and management to the Saskatchewan public.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Update Management Plan for Moose (*Alces alces*) in Saskatchewan.
- Continue aerial surveys (population density and herd structure) within primary moose habitat on a four-year cycle.
- Focus on access control when addressing forest harvesting plans within the boreal plain ecozone.
- Evaluate harvest strategies within primary and secondary habitat to ensure both conservation and sustainable harvest levels are met.
- Continue with antlerless moose seasons to assist with stabilizing moose populations in agricultural WMZs.
- Complete management objectives for moose in agricultural landscapes.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan:

Arsenault, A. 2000. Status and management of moose (*Alces alces*) in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Environment and Resource Management. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2000-01. 84pp.

For additional information, please contact:

Katie Rasmussen
Moose Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Ministry of Environment
Unit 1- 101 Railway Place Meadow Lake, SK S9X 1X6
(306) 236-9819
Katie.Rasmussen@gov.sk.ca

Pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*)

Pronghorn, formerly known as antelope, are neither a deer, nor an antelope, but belong to their own separate family, Antilocapridae. This designation is a result of their unique horns, whose keratin sheath is shed annually and makes pronghorn the only species worldwide to do so. Pronghorn primarily inhabit the southwestern portion of the province, although large numbers are being detected in west-central regions (Figure 8). Generally found in semi-arid prairies, pronghorn prefer ecosystems with a mixture of grasses, forbs and shrubs to provide both forage and bedding cover, but will also capitalize on certain agricultural crops (e.g. pulse crops or tame hay) at various times of the year. Given pronghorns reliance on their excellent eyesight to avoid predators, habitat with low-growing vegetation is optimal for this species. Saskatchewan is the northern extent of the pronghorn range and as such, pronghorn are susceptible to the extreme environmental conditions at this latitude.

Population Status

Pronghorn populations are monitored annually using information gathered from the pronghorn herd structure survey, hunter harvest survey, Saskatchewan Crop Insurance Corporation (SCIC) data and field reports from the general public, landowners and ministry staff.

Survey Data

Ground-based surveys continued in 2017 (Table 19) and all 70 pronghorn routes were completed. All PMUs continued to see a positive population recovery and strong kid:doe ratios. The provincial kid:doe ratio was encouraging at 61:100, showing good recruitment into the population. Overall, the population appears to be recovering from recent severe winters and, with recent milder weather patterns, should continue to increase.




Figure 8. Pronghorn Management Units (PMUs) for Saskatchewan, 2017.

Table 19. Pronghorn herd structure survey results from 2017.

Year	PMU	WMZs	Bucks	Does	Kids	n
2017	1	14W, 25-27	28	49	21	98
	2	14E, 24	13	3	2	18
	3	8-11	57	156	80	293
	4	12-13	11	42	17	70
	5	3, 6, 7	34	92	36	162
	6	2, 4, 5	20	30	6	56
	7	1, 15, 18	15	12	4	31
	8	19, 23	3	4	5	12
Total			181	388	171	740

Biological Sample Collections

No biological samples were collected in 2017.

General Overview

Continued average or above average winter conditions for multiple years in southwest Saskatchewan have produced a favourable environment for the pronghorn population. Surveys conducted in July 2017 indicated increasing or stable populations within all eight PMUs. Field reports from hunters and conservation officers indicated that populations were higher in 2017 compared to recent years.

Hunting Season Review

Pronghorn hunting opportunities are restricted to Saskatchewan residents only. Pronghorn licences are awarded through the draw and successful applicants receive one either-sex tag. Opportunities have been limited in recent years due to low population numbers.

Draw licence numbers were increased significantly in 2017, with a total of 475 tags being offered through the big game draw. These values are beginning to increase toward the average licence allocation for pronghorn prior to the most recent season closure. Hunter participation was strong for 2017 with 90 per cent of licences offered being purchased. Pronghorn harvest remains gender-biased, with nearly 100 per cent of the harvest being bucks (Table 20) and harvest success remaining high.

Hunter harvest surveys were distributed to all pronghorn hunters in 2017. Harvest survey return rate was 43 per cent, which was higher than the overall rate of return in 2016 (36 per cent).

Table 20. Pronghorn harvest (2007-2017). Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Year	Bucks	Does	Kids	Unknown	Total
2008	683	37	0	0	720
2009	1,113	212	11	14	1,350
2010	---	---	---	---	---
2011			CLOSED		
2012			CLOSED		
2013			CLOSED		
2014			CLOSED		
2015			131		
2016	114	6	0	0	120
2017	417	2	0	0	419

Research Initiatives

Initiation of Pronghorn X-ing project in conjunction with Saskatchewan Government Insurance, the Government of Alberta, the Alberta Conservation Association and the Miistakis Institute commenced in 2017. This citizen-science based project allows individuals to download a smartphone app and record observations of pronghorn and other wildlife in proximity to roads. The goal of this project is to verify migratory routes of pronghorn, as well as stretches of roads and highway that pose a significant issue for wildlife. Data collected will be used to develop mitigation strategies to lessen wildlife-vehicle collisions and to focus conservation efforts on these wildlife corridors.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Update the Management Plan for Pronghorn in Saskatchewan to provide guidance and structure to management of pronghorn in the future.
- Survey pronghorn populations annually to obtain current data to inform management decisions.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Increase pronghorn populations and harvest opportunities within the confines of social tolerance.
- Promote the hunter harvest survey to hunters to increase response rate from current levels.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan:

Arsenault, A. 2007. Management strategy for pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*) in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2008-01. 34pp.

For additional information, please contact:

Todd Whiklo
Pronghorn Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch, Ministry of Environment
350 Cheadle St. W. Swift Current, SK S9H 4G3
(306) 778-8262
Todd.Whiklo@gov.sk.ca

Black Bear (*Ursus americanus*)

Black bears are a sought-after prize by resident and non-resident hunters, alike. Black bears can live in a variety of habitats, but generally prefer the dense woods of the mixed-wood or boreal forest. Requiring significant amounts of food, particularly in the fall when building up fat reserves to survive hibernation, bears will utilize habitat with thick underbrush of berry and nut-bearing plants, which are often found along valleys and other waterways. Black bears will also utilize man-made food sources and can often be found feeding in garbage dumps and campsites. The black bear range extends from throughout the north southward in the Parkland ecoregion as far south as the eastern Qu'Appelle River system in Saskatchewan.

Population Status

Saskatchewan black bear populations are monitored based primarily on data from the hunter harvest survey, the current version of which was initiated in 2015. These data are supplemented by reports from hunters, ministry staff and from crop and bee-yard damage compensation data provided by Saskatchewan Crop Insurance Corporation.

Survey Data

Hunter harvest survey data for Saskatchewan and Canadian residents are presented in Table 21 and 22. Harvest data from non-residents of Canada are summarized in Table 23. The hunter harvest response rate for Saskatchewan resident bear hunters in 2017 was 33 per cent up from 29 per cent in 2016 and 16 per cent in 2015. The harvest response rate for Canadian residents was 17 per cent compared with 18 per cent in 2016 and 14 per cent in 2015.

Of the 1,320 licensed resident bear hunters who responded to the hunter harvest survey, 267 (20 per cent) did not hunt bear in 2017; the same percentage as in 2016. If extrapolated to the total number of resident licensed bear hunters in 2017, this would suggest that 792 individuals purchased bear licences but did not hunt bear. Of the 1,053 respondents who did hunt bear 254 harvested an animal indicating a harvest rate of 24 per cent down from 25 per cent in 2016 and 33 per cent in 2015.

Results from the Annual Status of Furbearers Survey indicate particularly high bear populations in the Northern Fur Conservation Area in 2016-17. Data from 2017-18 is not yet available.

Biological Sample Collections

Saskatchewan currently has no biological sampling program for black bear.

General Overview

Based on anecdotal evidence collected during the period it appears that bear populations were generally stable or increasing. Increases were mainly reported in the east-central and southeast areas. This general population trend is consistent with most jurisdictions across the North American black bear range.

Hunting Season Review

Black bears in Saskatchewan are hunted under a regular licence during spring (April to June) and fall (August to October) seasons. Each hunter, regardless of residency, may take one bear of either sex, with

the exception of taking a female bear that has young-of-the-year cubs at heel. Non-residents are required to use the services of a licensed outfitter while hunting bears.

Saskatchewan resident licence sales fell to 3,999 in 2017 continuing a decline that began in 2016 and following a steady increase that began in 2006 at 1,954 licences and reached 4,408 in 2015. Canadian resident sales were at 202 in 2017, which continued a slow decline that began in 2012 when sales were 289. Conversely, non-resident licence sales continued to increase from a decade low of 1,520 in 2012 to 1,765 in 2017 (Appendix A). Saskatchewan resident hunter harvest data are presented in Table 21.

A trapping season for bear was opened in the Southern Fur Conservation Area in 2017. Harvest results for that season will be made available in the 2019 report. Trapping results from the 2016-17 season (the most recent available) indicated 17 bears marketed, all from the Northern Fur Conservation Area.

Table 21. Resident black bear harvest in 2008 through 2017. Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Year	Boars	Sows	Cubs	Unknown	Total
2008	443	130	7	14	594
2009	663	156	29	44	892
2010	---	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---	---
2012	---	---	---	---	---
2013	---	---	---	---	---
2014	---	---	---	---	---
2015	965	251	19	0	1,235
2016	682	117	10	0	809
2017	576	168	12	0	762

Table 22. Canadian Resident Black Bear Harvest in Saskatchewan, 2015-2017.

Year	Boars	Sows	Cubs	Unknown	Total
These data were not previously available					
2015	58	15	0	---	73
2016	51	23	0	---	74
2017	35	0	0	---	35

Table 23. Non-resident black bear harvest in 2008 through 2017. Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Year	Hunters	Harvest						M:F	C:F	Average Age		Colour Phase Black:Off Colour
		Spring	Fall	Total	Males (%)	Females (%)	Cubs (%)			Males	Females	
2008	2,021	1,169	193	1,381	70.2	28.4	---	2.47	---	5.17	5.94	4.30:1
2009	1,594	999	112	1,112	72.4	26.4	---	2.74	---	5.00	5.96	4.17:1
2010	1,439	938	136	1,074	69.5	28.7	---	2.42	---	---	---	4.11:1
2011	---	---	---	1,018	72.8	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2012	---	---	---	1,030	70.6	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2013	---	---	---	900	75.1	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2014	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2015	---	---	---	1,187	70.6	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2016	---	---	---	1,091	76.8	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2017	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Research Initiatives

Black bear research was initiated in May 2016 as a part of a larger project studying woodland caribou in Saskatchewan's Northern Boreal Shield. Over the first year, more than 20 bears across northeastern Saskatchewan were fitted with GPS satellite collars designed to provide spatial location data. The project is ongoing, with research focused on understanding bear habitat selection patterns in the Shield, and in particular, how caribou respond to bear space-use patterns.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Continually define the provincial range of black bears.
- Define bear habitat across the province.
- Assess black bear population trend.
- Monitor hunter harvest and other related mortality.
- Create long-term management units.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Assess population status and trend by monitoring trends in harvest rates from the hunter harvest survey and supporting or encouraging dedicated research on bear populations.
- Compile and map observations of black bears outside of their existing normal range in order to document the extent of range expansion.
- Design management units based on regional differences in habitat quality, hunting popularity, and human land use.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan: None available.

For additional information, please contact:

Mike Gollop
Black Bear Manager
Fish and Wildlife Branch
Ministry of Environment
102-112 Research Dr. Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3
(306) 933-5767
Mike.Gollop@gov.sk.ca

Barren-ground Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus groenlandicus*)

Barren-ground caribou is a subspecies of caribou that range between Saskatchewan, Nunavut and the Northwest Territories. They are well-adapted to the northern regions of the continent, with large, concave hooves that function well to support the animal in deep snow and are efficient scoops when the caribou paws through the snow to uncover its primary food source, lichens. Although specializing on lichens in the winter, they shift to green vegetation with higher protein content come spring. Disease, accidents, wolves and humans are the major sources of mortality for caribou. Disturbance, habitat loss and alteration are also important limiting factors on barren-ground caribou populations over the long-term.

The ranges of two barren-ground caribou herds commonly extend into Saskatchewan, the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq herds. Both herds calve in Nunavut and portions of the herds migrate into northern Saskatchewan during the winter months (November to March). The Beverly herd typically migrates into northwestern and north central Saskatchewan, sometimes migrating as far south as Carswell Lake and Cree Lake. The Qamanirjuaq Herd migrates into north eastern Saskatchewan from the east, sometimes ranging as far south as the Churchill River. There appears to have been a gradual retraction northward over the past 50 years, especially in the western and central parts of their Saskatchewan range. See map of range (Figure 9).

Population Status

The monitoring of barren-ground caribou populations is informed by western scientific, local and Indigenous Traditional Knowledge. Contributors include Indigenous people living in caribou range, the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board (BQCMB) and professionals working for the various governments presiding over the jurisdictions caribou use. The results of monitoring are documented by the BQCMB and other researchers in the BQCMB Management Plan, land use plans and protected area strategies.

Population size for each herd is estimated from data collected by June calving ground and spring and fall composition surveys. An effort is made to estimate recruitment of calves into the population each year from spring composition surveys conducted in April. Calving grounds are delineated by reconnaissance flights yearly if possible, cow:calf ratios estimated from the sample. Calving grounds are stratified into high, medium and low concentrations of calving females prior to calving ground population surveys undertaken at longer intervals (i.e. every 2-6 years). Aerial photography of caribou on the calving grounds and visual surveys using double observers in aircraft flying transect strips at different widths depending on prior stratification are the principal methods used to estimate the number of breeding females in the population.




Figure 9. Barren-ground caribou range map.

Up to 50 caribou are collared in each population that includes adults of both sexes, but predominately females. Satellite tracking of collared caribou is used to track their movements, map their distribution, estimate adult female and male mortality, locate concentrations of caribou for composition and calving ground delineation/reconnaissance surveys, and to identify important habitats (i.e. migration corridors, water crossings, calving grounds, post-calving areas).

Survey Data

The Qamanirjuaq Herd was most recently surveyed for population size in 2017, but results have not been released at this time. There has been an estimated decline of approximately two per cent between survey years 2008, 2014 and 2017. In general, the 2017 survey has shown slightly more females than in 2014 and this is qualified by the observation that collared females from a more northerly population appeared to be mixing along the northern edge of the Qamanirjuaq calving grounds which means that there could be an over-estimate of Q females. Recruitment surveys conducted on this herd since 1998 have shown an overall decline in recruitment and at levels well below the comfort level of 30 calves:100 cows. This represents the overall trend in recruitment values to below 20 calves:100 cows. It appears that pregnancy rate has also declined probably due to nutritional stress of the breeding females.

A population survey of Beverly – Ahiak calving ground complex was completed in June 2011 along the Queen Maud Gulf (QMG) coast of Nunavut (Table 24). As part of this survey the traditional Beverly calving ground and QMG coast were delineated by widely spaced transects to locate calving caribou and stratify the calving grounds by density. Spring recruitment and calving ground reconnaissance surveys were completed in 2012, 2013 and 2014. Results of these surveys indicated few to no calving caribou on the traditional Beverly calving grounds, and similar distribution and densities of calving caribou and calves on the Queen Maud Gulf calving ground.

Biological Sample Collections

No biological data from this time period is currently available.

Table 24. Population surveys of Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Herds between 1967 and 2014. Estimates before 1982 were visual surveys conducted on calving grounds and were based on the number of breeding females. Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Year	Beverly Herd		Qamanirjuaq Herd	
	Population Estimate	Breeding Female Estimate	Population Estimate	Breeding Female Estimate
1967	159,000*	---	---	---
1968	---	---	63,000	22,000
1971	210,000*	---	---	---
1974	177,000*	---	---	21,403
1976	---	---	43,800	15,380
1977	---	---	44,095	14,787
1978	131,000	---	---	---
1980	100,000	---	39,000	13,000
1982	193,000**	---	180,000**	41,000
1983	---	---	230,000 ± 59,000**	71,000
1984	262,000**	---	---	---
1985	---	---	272,000 ± 142,000**	97,000
1988	189,000**	---	221,000 ± 72,000**	99,000
1994	276,000**	---	495,665 ± 105,426**	215,158
2008	---	---	348,661 ± 48,861**	156,784
2011	124,189 ***	52,825	---	---
2012	---	---	---	---
2014	---	---	264,000 ± 21,120****	100,000

*Estimates from visual surveys conducted on caribou range but not on calving grounds, and not based exclusively on numbers of breeding females.

**Estimates from photographic surveys conducted on calving grounds and based on numbers of breeding females. Photo surveys consistently produce much higher population estimates.

***Estimates from use of visual survey technique with double observers and very high confidence, low error but qualify that assume all Beverly and not mixed with a formerly defined “migratory” Ahlak Herd.

****Estimates from photographic surveys of high density stratum of calving grounds and from use of visual surveys with double paired observers of medium and low density strata, and very high confidence, low error.

General Overview

There is ongoing concern about the Beverly Herd whose winter range use in Saskatchewan has retracted northward out of the province into the Northwest Territories (NWT), and that this herd has all but disappeared with stragglers joining a more northerly herd. The Qamanirjuaq Herd has expanded its use of winter range in the NWT immediately adjacent to the northern portions of Saskatchewan, to overlap with the forested part of the historic Beverly herd winter range, but has not expanded its use of winter range in Saskatchewan.

Latest results of the 2014 population surveys and long-term calf recruitment data confirm a decline. Industrial activity on the calving grounds of the Qamanirjuaq Herd is a significant concern at this time.

Approvals for infrastructure-rich exploration establishments have occurred on occupied portions of the calving grounds despite appeals from the BQCMB and grassroots organizations not to approve such activity. In addition, a crash in the caribou population on Baffin Island has led to more hunting of mainland arctic caribou herds including the Qamanirjuaq Herd. Internet sales have increased tremendously whereby hunters from the mainland hunt caribou for re-sale to people requesting meat over the internet on Baffin Island. This is legal in Nunavut and NWT and is called inter-settlement trade.

The state of Saskatchewan's portion of caribou winter range continues to be a major source of concern for the BQCMB and caribou-using peoples from northern Saskatchewan. Much of the range has succumbed to forest fire in recent decades, setting back succession to the mature forest states preferred by caribou. This is likely contributing to range retraction, in combination with the increase in human activity in the Athabasca, Cree Lake and Wollaston Lakes regions in recent decades. Caribou were present in the province in the winter months of 2017, but not earlier in the year (fall 2016).

Hunting Season Review

Only permanent residents of WMZ 76 may purchase a licence to hunt barren-ground caribou. They are entitled to purchase a maximum of two either-sex licenses. A total of 10 licenses were purchased in 2017 and 16 caribou were harvested in the province. (Appendix A).

Barren-ground caribou are highly valued by several northern native cultures and continue to be their primary food source, which they harvest under Treaty or Métis rights. Across the range, the estimated value of the harvest is \$20 million annually (InterGroup Consultants Ltd. 2013). Data on subsistence harvest is not presently collected, but in 2015 subsistence hunters were thought to be numerous, coming mainly from Wollaston, Black Lake and Fond Du Lac and some additional hunters from Stony Rapids, Southend, Stanley Mission, Uranium City, Fort Chipewyan and Grandmother's Bay. Harvest pressure is often higher than usual in years when caribou migrate close to communities.

Harvest Monitoring

A partnership was initiated between the Ministry of Environment, Prince Albert Grand Council (PAGC) and GNWT in 2009 to undertake community-based monitoring in the Athabasca communities, part of which was intended to document harvest. Tina Giroux (ADNDC) was the biologist hired to co-ordinate the monitoring and began a program to have harvest monitored by the communities themselves and results shared based on approval by the range communities (Athabasca Denesuline). The partner named as PAGC changed to Athabasca Denesuline Né Né Land Corporation (ADNLC). The ministry pulled out of the partnership in 2012 and GNWT in 2016. A data sharing agreement between ADNLC and the BQCMB was completed and signed off in November 2017. Tina Giroux set a target of getting 100 hunters involved in harvest reporting from each of the communities and reached that target in 2017. She believes this will account for 90 per cent or better of the harvest each year.

Within the past several years, conservation officers have patrolled in the Wollaston Lake, Black Lake and Fond Du Lac areas to check hunter kills for signs of wastage where front quarters of animals were sometimes left behind, and/or other portions of edible meat not retrieved before scavengers made it unsuitable. The officers have reported non-retrieval of caribou at varying levels from year to year.

Based on their reports, it is estimated that approximately 10 per cent of hunted caribou are not retrieved. This is lower than estimated through an educated guess by the BQCMB (i.e. 25 per cent).

The Beverly and Qamanirjuaq herds are managed with advisement from the BQCMB, while the Bathurst and Ahiak herds are managed through Territorial processes. The BQCMB consists of 13 members from the Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, and Indian and Northern Affairs Canada. Eight of those members represent aboriginal communities on the range.

Research Initiatives

The ministry was involved in an advisory capacity with some research initiatives conducted by the BQCMB during this time period, including harvest monitoring, herd vulnerability assessments, recruitment and calving ground delineation surveys.

Management Objectives and Strategies

The BQCMB produced and updated the management plan for the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq herds. Tim Trottier along with one community representative (Dennis Larocque) participated in revising the plan to ensure that it meets ministry expectations. Saskatchewan has adopted this plan which can be found on the BQCMB website. Action plans are directed at maintaining and monitoring healthy, sustainable populations at levels adequate for continued subsistence harvest and other uses, if herd size allows, while protecting important caribou habitat, such as calving grounds, from human disturbance.

Long-term Management Objectives

Management of these herds is based on the management plan produced by the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board. Thirty-four objectives and their actions are divided among nine goals. The following are goals from that plan (Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board 2005) are:

- To conserve the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Herds in a co-operative manner.
- To strengthen support for caribou conservation.
- To increase knowledge of barren-ground caribou and the caribou-human system.
- To monitor caribou population status over time.
- To monitor the harvest of caribou.
- To maintain the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Herds within their natural range of abundance.
- To maintain adequate amounts of high-quality habitat.
- To manage for the sustainable use of caribou.
- To manage human land use in a way that protects Beverly and Qamanirjuaq caribou and their habitats.

Short-term Management Strategies

The following are strategies taken from those in the BQCMB management plan that the ministry is best able to act upon during this period.

- Use all forms of knowledge, including Local and Traditional Knowledge (Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge/Inuit Qaujimaqatuqangit-IQ) and scientific knowledge.

- Develop additional ways for residents of caribou range communities to be involved in monitoring and management actions.
- Maintain and enhance the profile of the BQCMB and caribou issues in range communities.
- Increase awareness of caribou issues and the BQCMB.
- Increase knowledge of land use on the range and impacts on caribou and their habitats.
- Secure funding to adequately monitor both herds.
- Undertake harvest monitoring.
- Develop and apply a technique for estimating harvest levels.
- Re-affirm geographic and demographic boundaries for the herds.
- Strive for protection of key habitats important to caribou.
- Identify and strive for sustainable hunting practices.
- Monitor and assess the impacts of human land use on habitats.
- Describe and evaluate the impact of new and existing roads accessing caribou habitat.
- Support and contribute to land use plans, and encourage land use planning across the range.
- Screen key land use proposals for potential effects on caribou and range.
- Provide guidance on development proposals and environmental assessments where there are potential impacts on caribou.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan: Based on the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Plan (www.arctic-caribou.com).

For additional information, please contact:

Tim Trottier
 Barren-ground Caribou Manager
 Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
 Ministry of Environment
 1328 La Ronge Ave. La Ronge, SK S0J 1L0
 (306) 425-4237
 Tim.Trottier@gov.sk.ca

Woodland Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*)

Woodland caribou are the second subspecies of caribou occurring in Saskatchewan. The largest and darkest of the caribou, woodland caribou are characterized as being sedentary, but this varies among individuals and bands. Some individuals move only a few kilometres seasonally, while others may be significantly more nomadic. Woodland caribou are found extensively across Canada's north, and are present throughout Saskatchewan's boreal forest (Figure 10). The widespread boreal population of woodland caribou, which includes those individuals occurring in Saskatchewan, was listed as threatened by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) in 2000.

Population Status

Woodland caribou populations in the province are currently assumed to be part of a continuous distribution. Local populations have not been identified, but distribution patterns are emerging where sufficient information is available. Figure 10 illustrates the current provincial range and identifies two conservation units: Boreal Shield and Boreal Plain. These units represent a compromise with the federal assessment of Saskatchewan populations where it is mutually agreed that we do not have information that identifies geographically distinct local populations, but that habitat characteristics and patterns of range use differ between the Boreal Shield and Boreal Plain sections of the provincial range. It also recognizes that we have insufficient data from the Boreal Shield Conservation Unit to determine population status and range condition. There is sufficient information from the Boreal Plain Conservation Unit to determine that range condition is such that caribou are at risk of not being self-sustaining. While there is some population status data for this unit that can inform conservation efforts, a better geographic distribution of population data is required. Woodland caribou in Saskatchewan are monitored using an assortment of information provided by area biologists and field staff, knowledgeable public from communities residing in the woodland caribou range, industry working in these regions, provincial and federal recovery strategies and related documents, as well as research on woodland caribou from both within Saskatchewan and from other regions.

Survey Data

Caribou fecal pellets were collected in conjunction with aerial reconnaissance surveys conducted in SK2 (Figure 10) in 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016. This effort will contribute to an understanding of caribou distribution, compilation of unique individuals and their relatedness to each other, as well as among bands of caribou across the province. It will also inform on the level of connectivity within the provincial distribution and with neighbouring jurisdictions. Further analysis to reveal gender, pregnancy rates, and pedigree will inform on population condition based on how productive females are and how many males and females breed over time, relative to what the population needs to be sustainable.

A capture-mark-recapture survey was completed twice between January and March 2017 through the systematic collection of fecal pellets over a study area of about 18,000 km² in the south-central part of the range called SK2 Central. There was good replication of unique individuals between the two surveys indicating a favorable level of survey success. There was a long period of little snow and some melting in early winter that complicated the first collection, and a heavy snowfall between reconnaissance and pellet collections during the second survey that resulted in some first survey sites not being included in

the second collection. As a result a second survey will be conducted in the same area next winter and results of both compared to establish a baseline trend.




Figure 10. Woodland caribou range in Saskatchewan (Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment 2012).

Biological Sample Collections

Fecal pellets have been collected (~1000 samples to date) for DNA analysis as part of the landscape genetics project. Blood and tissue samples have also been taken from 150 caribou collared in the Boreal Shield for purposes of obtaining genetic profiles and diet through stable isotope analysis.

General Overview

The health of woodland caribou populations, particularly in the Boreal Plain, is in question. Forest management planning for much of the Boreal Plain has commenced and may see an increase in forest harvesting, including in some sensitive caribou areas. In addition to this development, multi-national peat harvesting companies have increased their exploration activities, some of which directly overlap with prime caribou habitat and would see alteration of that habitat to a condition that would be unsuitable for caribou for the next hundred years or more. For example, one of these companies has requested approval to initiate harvesting in the Pasquia Bog where there is a well-known caribou population straddling the Saskatchewan-Manitoba border east of Hudson Bay.

Within the Boreal Shield, upcoming uranium mining and associated long-distance road networks will be examined with industry participation to determine their effects on local caribou populations and habitat.

The National Boreal Caribou Recovery Strategy was released in September 2012 and included provincial obligations to collect population data on caribou, particularly in the Boreal Shield, as well as direction to undertake range planning in the Boreal Plain that would direct human land use to ensure sustainable caribou populations, while maintaining a minimum of 65 per cent undisturbed critical habitat at any given time in the future. As a result, the ministry embarked on a set of directed studies in response to the federal recovery strategy for the boreal population of woodland caribou.

The Provincial Woodland Caribou Management Team held a final meeting in February 2012 and approved a draft provincial strategy that subsequently was re-named to be a provincial conservation strategy. It has since been approved by the Minister of Environment and is the guiding document for management. The directed studies mentioned above were developed by a Woodland Caribou Technical Committee (WCTC) contrived by the ministry to bring together experienced researchers with an interest in pursuing research in the province according to guidance provided by the ministry. The WCTC is not representative, but combines the ministry staff most directly involved in management with outside researchers and together they developed the directed studies. An integrated internal Woodland Caribou Working Group has also been established with representation from Fish, Wildlife, and Lands, Environmental Assessment, Technical Services, Fire Management, Forest Services and Communication and Client Services branches, as well as the Ministry of Economy.

Management for woodland caribou via habitat will be guided by “range plans” of which the first in SK2 Central is nearing completion. These plans will address how the province meets the federally prompted goal of attaining and maintaining a minimum undisturbed habitat of 65 per cent. Range planning commenced in January 2015 within SK2 Central of the Boreal Plain part of the provincial range known as SK2. This conservation unit was divided into SK2 West, SK2 Central, and SK2 East. Representatives of First Nations, Métis Locals and a wide variety of stakeholders, including industry, join the ministry and other government staff at range planning tables every three or four months to share information and discuss development of the range plans. This process has also triggered the Duty to Consult based on government guidelines. A draft plan for the SK2 Central sub-unit was released for public input in October 2017 and subsequent drafts written to incorporate public and internal suggestions, and a final meeting of the SK2 Central Planning Table held in November 2017. It is expected that the final plan will be complete in one more year.

Hunting Season Review

Regulated harvest was closed province-wide in 1987 in response to concerns of declining populations and remains closed today. Sustenance harvest continues, but it is unclear to what degree.

Research Initiatives

Cameco completed its caribou collaring study around Key and Cree Lakes that would help them address concerns about industrial disturbance to caribou and habitat. Results of that effort are yet to be released. Their fieldwork will also be combined with the University of Saskatchewan-led collaring study in the Boreal Shield and will also help to gain a better understanding to what extent natural disturbance (i.e. wildfire burns) affect caribou persistence. An interim report was produced describing results from the first two years of the U of S study (McLoughlin et al. 2016). Preliminary conclusions resulting from that report are: 1) habitat is relatively pristine with low levels of anthropogenic disturbance compared to other parts of Canada; 2) despite frequent wildfires in the last 40 years, large tracts of high-quality habitat remain and are available to caribou; 3) some of the highest densities of boreal caribou in Canada were observed (36.9 caribou/1,000 km² or approximately 3,380 caribou in the study area extrapolated to ~5,000 in SK1 – Boreal Shield); 4) wolf densities appear to be low with much larger territories than observed elsewhere (3.5x) and very low moose densities; 5) very low human hunting pressure; 6) caribou population characterized by high adult female survival (>0.90) and moderate-low recruitment (~0.20) but high pregnancy rates (~0.90); 7) a large herbivore population experiencing density-related constraints on further population growth; and 8) age and sex structure combined with survival and reproductive rates indicative of a stable to slightly increasing population.

Genetic research based on pellet collections (mainly SK2) and blood samples (SK1) is showing weak population structure within the southern part of the boreal plain, but overall a continuous distribution of caribou provincially which indicates a relatively-well genetically-connected population characterized by Isolation by Distance (Priadka, 2015). Figure 11 illustrates the results as genetic clusters that are closely related with adjacent clusters, and less closely related to distantly located clusters.




Figure 11. Genetic Population Structure (d) is the preferred depiction based on cluster assignments.

Pellet collections are currently focused on the Boreal Plain portion of the provincial range and will help to understand how the caribou distribute themselves and are influenced by anthropogenic and natural disturbance.

In the Boreal Shield, baseline data is needed and being sought through University of Saskatchewan-led research using telemetry on caribou, wolves and black bears and through vegetation sampling in conjunction with wildfire burns to determine successional pathways after fire and how that relates to caribou movements, distribution and persistence.

A researcher from the U of S has documented Aboriginal traditional knowledge and local knowledge about caribou and caribou habitat. The final report was submitted in November 2017. In general, the modelling of knowledge gathered has shown similar results to information collected in other ways including scientific monitoring. There is also a dedicated engagement process for ensuring that the public and all others concerned are kept abreast of woodland caribou issues, research and management action.

Management Objectives and Strategies

To sustain and enhance woodland caribou populations and maintain the ecosystems they require throughout their current range.

Long-term Management Objectives

- Develop range plans for each conservation unit.
- Delineate critical habitat for woodland caribou in the Boreal Plain Conservation Unit and the Boreal Shield Conservation Unit.
- Develop or adopt a cumulative effects model in collaboration with industries and other interested parties.
- Link Range Plans with Land Use Plans in collaboration with stakeholders and Aboriginal groups.
- Develop integrated access management plans for each Woodland Caribou Conservation Unit (WCCU).
- Develop a wildfire suppression plan for caribou range.
- Evaluate the long-term effects of climate change on woodland caribou population status, and its effect on caribou habitat and use.
- Establish a reporting system that will identify proposed developments and activities within any WCCU (with impacts to be assessed through cumulative effects model).
- Contribute to forest insect/disease management planning.
- Assess caribou population demographics and trends, beginning with high-risk areas.
- Establish and promote a formal program for collection of track, sighting and telemetry data.
- Monitor health and condition of woodland caribou.
- Analyze genetic variation in and among caribou populations.
- Collaborate with First Nations and Métis with an interest in caribou and caribou range to develop effective conservation practices
- Collaborate with neighbouring jurisdictions in managing for trans-boundary caribou populations
- Collaborate with industries and recreation groups to develop best management practices for caribou and critical habitat.
- Investigate methods of population estimation.
- Complete the process of and finalize listing Woodland Caribou as a provincial species at risk.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Commence delineation of critical habitat starting with examination of forest ecosites to identify those preferred by caribou.
- Identify habitat and local population connectivity issues across the Boreal Plain conservation unit through landscape genetics.
- Formalize and improve the sighting and track reporting program.
- Identify and formalize methods for determining population size and trend.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan:

Saskatchewan Environment. 2007. Recovery Strategy for Boreal Woodland Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Environment. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2007. 46pp. (Unpublished)

McLoughlin, Phil et al. 2016. Population dynamics and critical habitat of woodland caribou in the Saskatchewan Boreal Shield. Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan; Interim Project Report, 2013-2016. 162pp. (Unpublished).

Priadka, Pauline. 2015. Genetic Connectivity of Boreal Woodland Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) in Central Canada. Faculty of Graduate Studies of The University of Manitoba; Thesis for the degree of Master of Natural Resources Management. Natural Resources Institute. Winnipeg, Canada. 125pp.

Mamun, Abdullah Al and Ryan Brook. 2017. Characterizing, Mapping and Modelling Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge about Woodland Caribou in Saskatchewan in Support of Range Planning. Final Report to the Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. University of Saskatchewan. 119pp. (Unpublished)

For additional information, please contact:

Tim Trottier
Woodland Caribou Recovery Management Team
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Ministry of Environment
1328 La Ronge Ave. La Ronge, SK S0J 1L0
(306) 425-4237
Tim.Trottier@gov.sk.ca

OR

Gigi Pittoello
Woodland Caribou Recovery Management Team
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Ministry of Environment
3211 Albert Street Regina S4S 5W6
(306) 787-2751
Gigi.Pittoello@gov.sk.ca

Plains Bison (*Bison bison bison*)

Plains bison are the largest wild land mammal in North America with adult males ranging in weight from 600 to 850 kilograms and standing nearly two metres at the shoulder (Caras 1967). They are distinguished by their large head, rounded shoulder hump, broad snout, and short stout black horns that curve upward. The front quarters are heavier than the hind quarters, with the head and front shoulders being covered in a heavy long woolly pelage. Plains bison are sexually dimorphic with females smaller than males. In Saskatchewan, plains bison are found in two distinct locations (Figure 12), described as the McCusker River population (Figure 13) and the Sturgeon River population (Figure 14).

Population Status

Plains bison were extirpated from Saskatchewan in the late 19th century. In 1969, 50 plains bison (36 females and 14 males) were obtained from Elk Island National Park of Canada and released north of the Thunder Hills near Meyakamew Lake, which is approximately 60 km north of Prince Albert National Park (PANP). These animals did not stay at the original release site. Approximately 10-15 of the bison moved south settling in the southwest region of PANP and became known as the Sturgeon River herd. Another 10-17 animals were re-captured by the Department of Natural Resources and re-located to the Vermette-Upper Cummings Lake region. These animals eventually settled in the McCusker River area within the Cold Lake Air Weapons Range and became known as the McCusker River herd. The Sturgeon River herd is monitored annually by PANP staff (in the park), the Sturgeon River Plains Bison Stewards (outside the park) and field reports from the general public, landowners and Ministry of Environment staff. The McCusker River herd is not monitored annually.

Survey Data

The Sturgeon River population slowly grew over the past 35 years and in 2007-08 peaked at 400+ animals. An anthrax outbreak in the population in 2008 along with wolf predation and unregulated hunting has resulted in a steady decline in the population since 2008. In 2017, the population was estimated to be less than 250 (Figure 15). There is limited information about the McCusker River population. Anecdotal estimates suggest the population remains around 150.

Biological Sample Collections

No biological samples were collected during this time period.




Figure 12. Plains bison range in Saskatchewan.




Figure 13. McCusker River Plains Bison Population range in Saskatchewan.

General Overview

The Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) list plains bison as threatened. This designation was given in 2004. Status reassessment began in November 2013 and COSEWIC has re-confirmed the status designation as threatened. Under the Species at Risk Act (SARA) plains bison are not considered to be at risk. The Federal Government is presently reviewing the reassessment with a decision on listing expected in 2018. The decision not to list bison under SARA in 2004 was due to the potential economic implications for the Canadian bison industry (SARA, SI/2005-72/annex 1).

In 2006, a draft management strategy was developed to help guide management actions by the Federal and Provincial governments responsible for the Sturgeon River Plains Bison. Stakeholders were brought together to discuss goals and outcomes for the bison herd. One of the goals was to develop a long-term bison management plan.




Figure 14. Sturgeon River Plains Bison Population range in Saskatchewan (courtesy of Sturgeon River Plains Bison Stewards).




Figure 15. Bison population trend from annual aerial survey conducted by park staff within Prince Albert National Park (Parks Canada. 2014 Free-ranging plains bison census 1996-2017. Prince Albert National Park. Waskesiu Lake, Saskatchewan. Canada. Unpublished files).

In 2010, a coordinating committee was established to initiate the management planning process. Members included representatives from the Sturgeon River Plains Bison Stewards, Prince Albert National Park, and Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. The overarching goal of the management plan is for the Sturgeon River plains bison population to be managed as a self-sustaining, naturally regulated, and free-ranging plains bison population that is genetically diverse and able to persist in perpetuity as a natural part of the regional ecosystem.

The management plan establishes two key population thresholds: a minimum viable population threshold of 250 and a recommended management threshold of 430 to accommodate unforeseen environmental changes and/or disease outbreaks. Management actions are triggered as the population reaches either of the thresholds. The Sturgeon River Plains Bison Management Plan was officially signed in May 2013, by Prince Albert National Park and Ministry of Environment. Several action items listed in the management plan have been initiated including: annual aerial surveys; improving best management practices for deterring bison off private land; augmenting bison habitat within PANP with prescribed burning during the spring season; incorporating diversionary fences within PANP; and conducting a jurisdictional scan of compensation programs for damage to agricultural lands caused by wild bison.

Hunting Season Review

There is no sport hunting season for plains bison in Saskatchewan. Sustainance hunting continues.

Research Initiatives

Current research is being conducted through joint projects lead by the University of Laval and Prince Albert National Park. Research initiatives involving the Sturgeon River Plains Bison herd include understanding bison habitat selection, monitoring range expansion inside and outside the national park,

tracking movement patterns, bison reaction to diversionary fences, and studying predator-prey relationships.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Manage bison population numbers and population structure (age/sex) to allow for a self-sustaining and genetically diverse population while ensuring that the social carrying capacity for wild plains bison is not exceeded.
- Ensure that sufficient habitat is available to maintain a self-sustaining and wild plains bison population in the Sturgeon River region while mitigating negative impacts to local agriculture.
- Minimize conflict between bison and private landowners adjacent to Prince Albert National Park by improving prevention materials and methods, and increasing the social carrying capacity of the bison herd (the number of animals tolerated by landowners and the public within the regional geographic area).

Short-term Management Strategies

- Continue to monitor the bison population using aerial survey methods on an annual basis (PANP staff).
- Support ongoing research pertaining to bison food preference and habitat preference throughout the current Sturgeon River plains bison range.
- Increase the use of diversionary fences on private land to steer bison to more preferable locations.
- Maintain a bison anthrax protocol to guide operational procedure for future anthrax outbreaks.
- Implement action items found within the Sturgeon River Plains Bison Management Plan.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan: None available.

For additional information, please contact:

Katie Rasmussen
Plains Bison Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch, Ministry of Environment
Unit 1- 101 Railway Place Meadow Lake, SK S9X 1X6
(306) 236-9819
Katie.Rasmussen@gov.sk.ca

Upland Game Birds

Seven upland game bird species inhabit Saskatchewan, including ring-necked pheasant, sharp-tailed grouse, gray partridge (formerly Hungarian partridge), ruffed grouse, spruce grouse, rock ptarmigan and willow ptarmigan. While some of these species inhabit prairie landscapes and others more forested landscapes, upland birds inhabit all regions of the province (Figure 16). Wild turkeys are also found locally in pockets of the southeast and southwest corners of the province, but have not reached populations where a hunting season would be considered for them.

Population Status

In past, populations of upland game birds were monitored through a series of population surveys, harvest surveys and field reports. Population surveys were discontinued in the late 1990s – early 2000s and since that point, populations have been monitored using information gathered from the hunter harvest survey and field reports from stakeholder groups, the general public, landowners and ministry staff.

Survey Data

There is a general consensus among most jurisdictions in the Great Plains that upland game bird harvest approximates trends in the population. That is, harvest of game birds tends to be self-limiting such that when populations are abundant, hunters are quite successful, but when populations are limited, so is the harvest. This theory holds true in Saskatchewan, where population estimates obtained in between 1960 and 1980 are well correlated to harvest estimates (Department of Tourism and Renewable Resources 1980). Harvest in Saskatchewan was monitored until 2010, discontinued for several years and reinstated in 2014.

Biological Sample Collections

No biological samples were collected in 2017.



Figure 16. Upland game bird ranges across the province (BirdLife International and Handbook of the Birds of the World 2016). Please note the ring-necked pheasant range is likely artificial north of the South Saskatchewan River and Qu'Appelle River system due to temporary presence of released birds.

General Overview

Hunter harvest survey data collected in 2017 (Table 25) suggests that populations of sharp-tailed grouse and gray partridge were similar to last year, although remain depressed compared to long-term objectives. Populations of ring-necked pheasant declined in 2017, although remained above long-term objectives. As expected, ruffed grouse and spruce grouse populations declined. This aligns with the normal ~10 year cycling of these species. Population impressions based on harvest data were corroborated by field reports from staff and stakeholders. All field reports of ptarmigan species indicate the populations are doing well.

Hunting Season Review

With the exception of ring-necked pheasant and ptarmigan, which can only be harvested by residents, all hunters can harvest upland game birds. Saskatchewan is divided into two zones, the North Game Bird District and the South Game Bird District and bag limits and season lengths may vary between these two zones for each species. Bag limits were at their most liberal levels into 2012, but in response to several severe winters, followed by cool, wet springs, in short succession, the ministry made reductions to the bag limits of prairie species. In 2013, the daily bag limits of sharp-tailed grouse and ring-necked pheasants were reduced from three to two birds and the possession limit for gray partridge was reduced from 24 to 16 birds. In 2014, additional reductions were made to the gray partridge bag limit to bring it from eight birds daily to four birds daily. Possession limit remained at twice the daily bag limit. In 2015, the ring-necked pheasant daily bag limit returned to three birds. Daily bag and possession limits for these species remained in place in 2017.

Increases in licence fees in 2017 likely drove a slight decrease in resident licence sales (Appendix A). Canadian resident licence sales remained relatively stable and non-resident licences sales continued their upward trend. In total, 20,413 resident, 2,024 Canadian and 11,120 non-resident licences were sold in 2017.

In 2014, the hunter harvest survey was made available to hunters of all residencies, rather than just Saskatchewan residents as in past and recent surveys included a question about whether participants hunted waterfowl only, upland game birds only or both waterfowl and upland game birds. Over time, the ministry will be able to use this data to better understand unique trends in game bird hunters. In 2017, the percentage of hunters hunting waterfowl only, upland game birds only or both waterfowl and upland game birds remained similar to previous years for all residencies (Table 26).

Table 25. Estimated upland game bird harvest by Saskatchewan residents.

Year	Sharp-tailed Grouse			Gray Partridge			Ring-necked Pheasant			Ruffed Grouse			Spruce Grouse		
	Hunters	Harvest	Harvest Rate	Hunters	Harvest	Harvest Rate	Hunters	Harvest	Harvest Rate	Hunters	Harvest	Harvest Rate	Hunters	Harvest	Harvest Rate
2008	10,762	28,800	2.68	9,310	35,967	3.86	5,001	19,806	3.96	10,389	60,349	5.81	2,588	9,771	3.78
2009	10,972	27,464	2.50	9,739	40,682	4.18	5,869	23,952	4.08	9,168	40,640	4.43	2,705	9,850	3.64
2010	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2011	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2012	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2013	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
2014	6,248	6,436	1.03	4,519	5,332	1.18	3,978	9,786	2.46	8,237	22,488	2.73	3,459	7,680	2.22
2015	7,971	13,232	1.66	4,883	11,426	2.34	5,413	24,194	4.47	9,519	39,692	4.17	2,435	7,572	3.11
2016	8,951	18,362	2.05	5,886	16,995	2.89	5,281	23,787	4.50	12,563	74,189	5.91	2,864	11,203	3.91
2017	8,885	17,519	1.97	5,699	16,361	2.87	4,731	14,302	3.02	10,570	44,770	4.24	2,457	8,266	3.36

Table 26. Percentage of hunters of each residency hunting waterfowl exclusively, upland game birds exclusively and all game birds (i.e. both waterfowl and upland game birds) on their 2017 Saskatchewan game bird licence.

Residency	Licences Sold	Waterfowl Exclusively	Upland Game Birds Exclusively	All Game Birds
Saskatchewan Resident	20,413	26%	47%	28%
Canadian Resident	2,024	64%	11%	26%
Non-Resident	11,120	91%	0%	9%
All Residencies Combined	33,557	51%	28%	21%

Research Initiatives

In partnership with the University of Regina and SaskPower, a research project on sharp-tailed grouse commenced in the fall of 2017. The objectives of this study are to use historical grouse and habitat data, in combination with contemporary field studies, to characterize and map major habitat features important for the long-term persistence of sharp-tailed grouse leks and understand how variation in annual weather conditions influences productivity. This study will continue through 2020.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Maintain sustainable upland game bird populations that can support continued hunting opportunity for future generations.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Complete an updated Management Plan for Upland Game Birds in Saskatchewan.
- Develop predictive models to estimate the impact of weather severity during key stages of upland game bird lifecycles and, in particular, the impact weather variables have on productivity.
- Identify current land cover across the province and determine location and distribution of available habitat for upland game bird species, particularly sharp-tailed grouse.
- Continue to utilize all available data to inform management strategies, including the annual review of seasons, daily bag limits and possession limits.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan:

Department of Tourism and Renewable Resources. 1980. Saskatchewan Game Bird Management Objectives and Strategies for the 80s. Fisheries and Wildlife Branch. Regina, SK

For additional information, please contact:

Katherine Conkin
Upland Game Bird Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Ministry of Environment
102-112 Research Dr. Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3
(306) 933-5304
Katherine.Conkin@gov.sk.ca

Waterfowl

Saskatchewan is an important area for four species of geese and almost every duck species in western North America (n= 36). Birds wintering in the Central, Mississippi and to a lesser extent Pacific Flyways use Saskatchewan either as breeding grounds during the summer or staging grounds along migrations to and from more northerly locations. Although not technically a waterfowl species, Saskatchewan also provides important staging habitat for sandhill cranes.

Population Status

Given that migratory birds fall under both federal and provincial jurisdiction, and are managed cooperatively with other jurisdictions in the flyways, waterfowl populations have been monitored annually by both the Canadian and United States federal governments. A series of surveys, including air-ground breeding population surveys, waterfowl banding, white-fronted goose fall staging surveys and mid-winter waterfowl surveys across the United States, allow the Central Flyway to compile an annual Harvest and Population Survey Data Book.

Survey Data

Although the ministry does not collect waterfowl population data, the Central Flyway Harvest and Population Survey Data Book (Dubovsky 2017) produced annually allows wildlife managers to monitor populations along the Central Flyway. Additionally, the Status and Harvests of Sandhill Cranes report (Dubovsky 2017) informs on crane populations that use Saskatchewan. Pertinent results from these publications are included below (Tables 27, 28 and 29). Please note, much of the 2017 data was not available at the time of production of this report and therefore the data will be included in the Saskatchewan Wildlife Management Report 2018.

Table 27. Goose population status. All estimates are three-year running averages. Goose species include greater white-fronted geese (GWFG), Canada geese (CAGO), snow geese (SNOW) and Ross' geese (ROGO). The CAGO Winter Survey estimate includes Central Flyway Tall Grass Prairie, Short Grass Prairie, Hi-line, and Western Prairie/Great Plains populations (p49), while the SNOW/ROGO Winter Survey estimate includes Western Central Flyway Total and Mid-continent Population Central Flyway populations (p50).

Year	GWFG Fall Survey	CAGO Winter Survey	SNOW/ROGO Winter Survey
2006-2008	755,759	1,492,390	1,091,248
2007-2009	699,733	1,477,500	1,128,248
2008-2010	681,592	1,448,641	998,142
2009-2011	658,250	1,433,559	1,144,450
2010-2012	724,600	1,519,168	1,065,004
2011-2013	732,000*	1,592,601	1,229,884
2012-2014	891,732*	1,561,818	1,219,806
2013-2015	991,483*	1,523,304	1,376,606
2014-2016	994,300	1,461,271	1,399,084
2015-2017	916,277	---	---

*No survey in 2013.

Table 28. Breeding population estimates (thousands) for 10 species of ducks from the traditional survey area (strata 1-18, 20-50, 75-77) covered by annual breeding population surveys. Duck species include mallard (MALL), gadwall (GADW), American wigeon (AMWI), green-winged teal (GWTE), blue-winged teal (BWTE), northern shoveler (NSHO), northern pintail (NOPI), redhead (REDH), canvasback (CANV) and both greater and lesser scaup (Scaup Spp.).

Year	MALL	GADW	AMWI	GWTE	BWTE	NSHO	NOPI	REDH	CANV	Scaup Spp.	Total
2007	8,307	3,356	2,807	2,890	6,708	4,553	3,335	1,009	865	3,452	37,282
2008	7,724	2,728	2,487	2,980	6,640	3,508	2,613	1,056	489	3,738	33,962
2009	8,512	3,054	2,469	3,444	7,384	4,376	3,225	1,044	662	4,172	38,342
2010	8,430	2,977	2,425	3,476	6,329	4,057	3,509	1,064	585	4,244	37,096
2011	9,183	3,257	2,084	2,900	8,949	4,641	4,429	1,356	692	4,319	41,810
2012	10,602	3,586	2,145	3,471	9,242	5,018	3,476	1,270	760	5,239	44,806
2013	10,372	3,351	2,644	3,053	7,731	4,751	3,335	1,202	787	4,166	41,392
2014	10,900	3,811	3,117	3,440	8,542	5,279	3,220	1,279	685	4,611	44,884
2015	11,634	3,834	3,037	4,081	8,547	4,391	3,043	1,196	757	4,395	44,924
2016	11,792	3,712	3,411	4,275	6,689	3,967	2,618	1,289	736	4,992	43,481

Table 29. Annual spring abundance indices for the Mid-Continent Population of Sandhill cranes derived from surveys of the Central Platte River Valley, NE. All estimates are three-year running averages.

Year	Sandhill Cranes
2006-2008	382,271
2007-2009	498,420
2008-2010	600,892
2009-2011	579,863
2010-2012	504,658
2011-2013	563,167
2012-2014	608,202
2013-2015	623,812
2014-2016	470,030
2015-2017	453,519

Biological Sample Collections

No biological samples were collected during this time period.

General Overview

Although the trend of extensive moisture in 2013 and 2014 did not continue in 2015 through 2017, the water table remained average or above and resulted in prime waterfowl habitat all across the province. Consequently, duck populations appeared to remain relatively stable at high levels. These population estimates over the past few years may have been more significant than captured by breeding population surveys, as waterfowl were distributed more extensively across the province, with ephemeral wetlands that were traditionally dry now holding water.

Populations of Arctic nesting geese continued to remain high and/or increase in numbers in 2017. Mid-continent snow geese remained classified as over-abundant in Canada and the United States, while western Arctic snow geese, Ross’s geese and “white-cheeked” geese increased to the point where consideration was being given to naming them as over-abundant. Note the term “white cheeked” geese is meant to capture both Canada and cackling geese. Both species occur in Saskatchewan though cackling geese are in lower numbers and are mainly in the eastern half of the province. Although there was a decrease in the number of white-fronted geese counted during the fall survey, populations remain well above the management plan objectives.

Hunting Season Review

While Saskatchewan produces a large number and variety of waterfowl, the province’s waterfowl harvest is only of continental significance for snow, Ross’s, white-fronted and Canada geese, mallards, pintails and sandhill cranes.

Waterfowl can be harvested in Saskatchewan by all hunters. Seasons have generally remained similar for all waterfowl, with the exception being dark geese in the South Game Bird District which began approximately two weeks later than the others for non-resident hunters. This exception was reviewed

and removed in 2017. Hunters can harvest eight ducks (of which four can be northern pintail), 10 coots, 20 white geese and eight dark geese daily, with a possession limit of three times the daily limit. Ross's geese were designated over-abundant in 2014 and were added to the spring snow goose season, starting in 2015.

Saskatchewan, as part of a joint Mississippi and Central Flyway initiative, lowered bag limits on mid-continent white-fronted geese in response to strong declines from 2000 through 2005. Saskatchewan moved from a bag of five per day for residents and non-residents to a bag of four per day for residents and three for non-residents of Canada. Populations rebounded beginning in 2006 and have stayed within target population thresholds. Bag limits were subsequently increased again in 2011 to a bag of five for residents and four for non-residents. In 2014, the limit was set to five for all hunters. Additionally, the spring white goose season dates were expanded to span March 15 to June 15, which was an extension both earlier (from April 1) and later (from April 30 or May 31, depending on location). No additional changes were made in 2017.

In addition to a Saskatchewan Game Bird Licence, waterfowl hunters must possess a federal Migratory Bird Permit. The sale of these permits has remained relatively stable between 17,000 and 22,000 permits sold annually in Saskatchewan (Appendix A).

Harvest of waterfowl in Saskatchewan fluctuates annually (Tables 30 and 31), with no significant trends apparent.

Research Initiatives

No research initiatives were conducted during this time period.

Table 30. Duck harvest in Saskatchewan (2008-2017).

Year	MALL	GADW	AMWI	GWTE	BWTE	NSHO	NOPI	REDH	CANV	LESC
2008	150,906	16,212	4,001	6,683	15,132	5,958	15,076	2,360	2,310	0
2009	135,546	17,720	3,873	1,147	2,624	6,045	17,226	760	456	826
2010	125,686	15,653	5,251	6,093	12,272	14,176	13,625	4,353	491	4,059
2011	143,258	29,404	8,992	3,534	22,787	22,040	20,217	4,563	6,150	2,029
2012	188,380	15,570	5,950	4,360	15,470	12,330	15,470	3,970	1,690	1,410
2013	193,591	18,864	2,527	6,969	38,943	15,458	19,243	5,884	761	1,973
2014	163,468	43,710	4,316	3,895	25,278	10,943	30,717	3,460	5,703	528
2015	179,718	14,492	8,091	9,477	29,860	7,456	11,790	2,407	1,094	48
2016	159,158	25,707	14,329	21,295	15,217	13,360	11,869	3,144	3,967	3,016
2017	133,725	15,200	7,741	4,977	19,148	8,395	28,390	326	530	443

Table 31. Goose harvest in Saskatchewan (2008-2017).

Year	SNGO	ROGO	GWFG	CAGO/CACG
2008	112,986	35,227	55,647	155,728
2009	80,753	20,655	30,882	140,922
2010	77,568	26,280	33,558	149,533
2011	85,848	34,682	52,762	173,045
2012	95,620	20,830	36,130	178,540
2013	127,835	29,478	42,181	141,655
2014	121,091	30,269	65,463	161,815
2015	68,341	19,302	31,953	177,475
2016	50,105	14,803	32,304	201,289
2017	54,502	35,452	45,104	208,946

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Continue to work within the Central and Mississippi Flyways framework to ensure that all waterfowl and sandhill cranes are managed within sustainable and socially-acceptable levels.
- Continue to work in partnerships through the Prairie Habitat Joint Venture to ensure a strong ongoing commitment to waterfowl habitat retention and improvement through the North American Waterfowl Management Plan (NAWMP).

Short-term Management Strategies

- Completion of a new five-year plan to direct NAWMP efforts in Saskatchewan.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan:

Central Flyway Webless Migratory Game Bird Technical Committee. 2006. Management guidelines for the mid-continent population of Sandhill cranes. Central Flyway Council Document.

Central Flyway Waterfowl Technical Committee. 2010. Management guidelines for hi-line Canada geese. Central Flyway Council Document.

White-fronted Goose Subcommittee of the Central Flyway Waterfowl Technical Committee, the Arctic Goose Committee of the Mississippi Flyway Game Bird Technical Section, and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, with assistance from representatives of the Canadian Wildlife Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Management plan for midcontinent greater white-fronted geese. Flyway Council Document.

For additional information, please contact:

Katherine Conkin
Waterfowl Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Ministry of Environment
102-112 Research Dr. Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3
(306) 933-5304
Katherine.Conkin@gov.sk.ca

Furbearers

Furbearers include 21 species or species groups (e.g. hare, squirrel, weasel) in Saskatchewan that are trapped and whose pelts are marketed. The trapping industry in Saskatchewan currently includes almost 5,000 registered trappers and generates between \$1 and \$6 million in revenue every year from the sale of pelts. Furbearers are a renewable resource and many trappers depend on raw fur sales to supplement their annual income and therefore proper conservation management is important to ensure the long-term sustainability of the resource and the trapping industry. A vibrant trapping industry is also important since trappers play an important role in controlling numbers of some potentially problematic species such as coyotes, wolves and beaver.

Since trapping seasons extend throughout the winter and into spring, some information presented in this report is necessarily based on data from the trapping season that closed the year prior to publication while some is based on the trapping season that closed in the current year.

Population Status

Populations of furbearers are monitored using the Annual Status of Furbearers Survey and field reports from the general public, landowners and Ministry of Environment staff. The Furbearer Survey moved to an online format in 2017 and became part of the regular Harvest Survey available under the automated licensing system.

Data is also collected on annual fur harvest however these data tend to track market conditions and so are not reliable for tracking population trends. However, inferential conclusions about population status are possible when harvest volumes fail to track markets in a predictable manner. For some species such as wolverine that are not specifically targeted by trappers so that capture conditions approach randomness, the number of animals harvested in a year can be indicative of populations but these are only inferential since there is no measure of trapping effort available.

Survey Data

The Annual Status of Furbearers Survey asks trappers to assess the abundance of local furbearers. Each is assigned a number between 0 and 4, with 0 corresponding to never being found in the area, 1 to sometimes being found but not present in the year of interest, 2 to being scarce, 3 to being common and 4 to being abundant. The average of all trappers reporting on the species is summarized in order to determine if the species is abundant (>3.3), common ($2.8 - 3.2$), fairly common ($2.3 - 2.7$), uncommon ($2.0 - 2.3$), scarce (<2.0) or never found (0). Species that are not found in the area are excluded from the calculation and species that are not reported on are indicated with a “-”. Results of the survey are shown in Table 32.

Table 32. Furbearer species abundance as determined from the Annual Status of Furbearers Survey.

	<u>South Saskatchewan Trapping Area</u>								<u>Northern Fur Conservation Area</u>							
	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
Arctic Fox	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4
Badger	3.1	2.4	2.5	3.0	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	0.7	1.3	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.9
Beaver	2.9	3.8	2.6	2.8	3.6	2.8	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.7
Black Bear	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	1.4	2.0	1.9	1.3	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.8
Bobcat	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.3	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.6
Coyote	3.7	2.8	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.5	3.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.2	2.4	2.8	2.8
Coyotes With Mange	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.8	3.3	1.8	1.4	1.4	2.1	1.3	1.1	0.8	1.6
Fisher	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.2	1.0	1.3	0.4	0.3	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.8
Hare	2.6	1.8	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.9	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.5
Lynx	0.8	1.3	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.8	0.9	0.7	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.7
Marten	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.7	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.9	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.8
Mink	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0	1.7	1.7	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.9	2.6
Muskrat	2.6	1.6	2.3	2.4	3.1	2.8	3.4	3.7	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.3
Otter	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.4	1.3	0.9	1.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.1	2.7	2.8	3.4	3.1
Raccoon	3.2	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.5	2.4	3.0	1.1	1.3	0.9	1.8	0.9	1.1	1.3	2.3
Red Fox (cross & silver)	2.8	2.2	2.3	3.2	2.4	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.6
Skunk	2.7	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.8	3.3	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.3	1.8	2.8
Squirrel	1.7	2.8	1.4	1.2	2.1	2.7	2.7	2.3	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.5	3.1	2.9	3.2	3.4
Weasel	2.4	2.4	2.1	1.8	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.3	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.2
Wolf	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.7	3.3	3.1	2.9	2.5	2.9	2.8	3.8	3.1
Wolverine	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.4	1.5	0.8
# Survey Respondents	11	5	10	5	7	4	11	3	61	48	43	8	18	18	19	14

Biological Sample Collections

No biological samples were collected during this time period.

General Overview

Fur prices for key species in 2016-17 were above the 20-year average for coyote and marten and below for beaver, mink, muskrat, otter, raccoon, squirrel and weasel. The Beaver Control Program in six selected provincial parks, announced by the Ministry of Parks, Culture and Sport in 2014, was discontinued through the 2017-18 trapping season. Ministry of Agriculture continued to provide funding to rural municipalities that would match allotments in order to provide an incentive to harvest beaver in areas experiencing recurring problems with flooding. The number of beaver marketed in 2016-17 remained low indicating that the majority of beaver taken under this incentive programs are not sold at auction.

There were 24,380 coyote pelts marketed in 2016-17 compared with 34,652 in 2015-16 and 36,802 in 2014-15, which was the highest number marketed in the last 20 years. This decline in harvest comes at a time when prices and interest remained high and might indicate that coyote populations have declined from their long-time peak. Alternatively, this could reflect the reported high incidence of shoulder mites which rendered many pelts unmarketable. Coyote prices continued to drive fur licence sales in the south.

Trapping Season Review

Only Saskatchewan residents are eligible to trap in Saskatchewan and first time trappers must pass an education course (or equivalency exam) prior to obtaining a fur license. Although trapper numbers tend to remain relatively stable, small year to year variations occur and can be particularly obvious in the south where there are fewer species to drive the markets. In 2016-17, licence sales in southern Saskatchewan declined slightly to 2,723 from a 27-year high of 2,878 the previous year. There was a similar decline in overall licence sales to 4,534 from 4,990 the previous year which again was a 27-year high (Table 33).

Fur harvest is driven by a variety of factors. While market conditions are the single largest driver of harvest fluctuations at the species level, they are not the only determinant. Trappers will also target species based on their abundance, trap cost, ease of trap set up, opportunity for by-catch of other profitable species, personal preference for processing of animals once trapped, time available for trapping, as well as a host of other personal preferences. Given that each individual is different, the overall annual harvest is often quite variable (Table 34).

Regardless of the particulars of the annual harvest, trapping generates significant revenue, with between \$1 and \$6 million in pelts being sold annually (Table 35). More detailed information about annual fur harvest and revenue can be found in the Saskatchewan Wild Fur Harvest and Cash Value reports produced annually by the Ministry of Environment and available at [Saskatchewan trapping information](#).

Table 33. Annual fur licence sales in Saskatchewan (2008-2017).

Year	Northern Fur Conservation Areas Licences	Southern Saskatchewan Licences	Youth Trapper Licences	Total Licence Sales
2007-2008	1,976	1,220	131	3,327
2008-2009	1,992	1,143	115	3,250
2009-2010	1,691	1,076	96	2,863
2010-2011	1,665	976	76	2,717
2011-2012	1,662	1,385	86	3,106
2012-2013	1,749	1,783	94	3,626
2013-2014	1,892	2,173	175	4,240
2014-2015	1,848	2,552	209	4,609
2015-2016	1,896	2,878	216	4,990
2016-2017	1,639	2,723	172	4,534

Table 34. Fur harvest by species (2008-2017).

Species	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017
Arctic fox	0	2	0	0	0	0	11	8	3	3
Badger	450	336	249	371	928	710	286	358	219	130
Bear	51	51	45	35	54	35	9	23	52	17
Beaver	12,606	11,926	11,253	11,741	17,125	15,558	12,676	10,498	10,612	8,616
Bobcat	2	17	4	1	33	1	3	0	2	1
Coyote	26,849	17,723	14,207	14,813	25,833	28,599	28,703	36,802	34,652	24,380
Fisher	709	1,110	1,028	1,341	2,115	1,908	2,540	2,082	1,585	1,028
Fox	2,312	1,775	1,173	1,402	2,725	2,609	2,694	3,332	3,102	2,297
Lynx	263	427	443	878	1,614	1,315	1,203	650	638	417
Marten	4,084	3,934	3,490	5,804	10,419	9,035	10,145	7,079	5,736	4,165
Mink	1,602	1,508	964	1,187	1,163	1,454	1,566	1,794	1,042	644
Muskrat	22,246	18,956	16,291	14,016	60,494	47,362	66,183	59,115	28,637	18,696
Otter	265	450	391	450	642	610	522	482	480	308
Raccoon	1,224	900	509	720	1,249	997	1,293	1,033	601	532
Skunk	49	64	44	43	68	45	45	112	161	207
Squirrel	3,367	2,472	3,270	2,224	3,261	1,516	1,987	984	1,210	1,369
Weasel	2,828	2,155	1,386	1,829	2,985	2,488	2,686	2,412	1,730	1,407
Wolf	206	320	243	149	225	166	273	204	169	132
Wolverine	10	18	11	5	16	14	19	19	16	13
# of Licensed Trappers	3,327	3,250	2,863	2,717	3,106	3,626	4,240	4,609	4,990	4,534

Table 35. Annual pelts marketed and associated cash value (2008-2017).

Year	<u>Southern Saskatchewan</u>		<u>Northern Fur Conservation Area</u>		Total Pelts Marketed	Total Cash Value
	Pelts Marketed	Total Cash Value	Pelts Marketed	Total Cash Value		
2007-2008	56,885	\$1,248,332.43	22,238	\$730,904.09	79,123	\$1,979,236.52
2008-2009	42,382	\$661,686.16	21,762	\$523,760.80	64,144	\$1,185,446.96
2009-2010	33,014	\$634,315.73	21,987	\$493,516.55	55,001	\$1,127,832.28
2010-2011	36,532	\$1,078,296.30	20,477	\$804,422.92	57,009	\$1,882,719.22
2011-2012	95,923	\$2,432,578.42	35,026	\$1,841,001.69	130,949	\$4,273,580.11
2012-2013	82,497	\$3,277,079.66	31,925	\$2,478,710.80	114,422	\$5,755,790.46
2013-2014	101,638	\$3,265,262.00	31,206	\$1,617,697.02	132,844	\$4,882,959.02
2014-2015	104,452	\$4,271,753.59	22,535	\$1,075,712.82	126,987	\$5,347,466.41
2015-2016	72,417	\$3,076,512.26	18,230	\$633,871.30	90,647	\$3,710,383.56
2016-2017	52,132	\$2,952,721.15	12,230	\$597,295.22	64,362	\$3,550,016.37

Research Initiatives

Trap testing research is ongoing and based on the priorities determined by the Canadian Wildlife Directors Committee. Traps meeting the killing efficiency standards of the Agreement on International Humane Trapping Standards are certified for continued use for the appropriate species. See also under Black Bear and Gray Wolf.

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Continue to maintain a viable fur harvesting industry in Saskatchewan and to ensure there is training and regulatory support for attracting new trappers and managing human-wildlife conflicts.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Work with Saskatchewan trapper's organizations, Ministry of Agriculture and Saskatchewan Crop Insurance Corporation to coordinate approaches for dealing with problem furbearers.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan:

Koback, L. 2017. Saskatchewan Wild Fur Harvest and Cash Values 2016-17. Fish, Wildlife & Lands Branch Summary Report. Saskatoon, SK.

For additional information, please contact:

Mike Gollop
Furbearer and Carnivore Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch, Ministry of Environment
102-112 Research Dr. Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3
(306) 933-5767
Mike.Gollop@gov.sk.ca

Or

Lois Koback
Fur Harvest Statistics Coordinator
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch, Ministry of Environment
102-112 Research Dr. Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3
(306) 933-5766
Lois.Koback@gov.sk.ca

Gray Wolf (*Canis lupus*)

Wolf management is the concern of a wide variety of government agencies, professional organizations and public groups. They are protected in Saskatchewan as a furbearer and a big game species, but most management effort has traditionally been directed toward dealing with human-wildlife conflicts associated with the species.

A regular hunting season for gray wolf was added in 2017 following pilot programs in each of the three preceding years. The season was restricted to wildlife management zones along the forest fringe not within the Northern Fur Conservation area.

Population Status

There are no formal surveys of wolf populations in Saskatchewan. Provincial populations were estimated in 2006 using a linear regression model of the relationship of wolf density and ungulate biomass and a habitat model based on typical densities reported in the literature for habitat types prevalent in Saskatchewan. The ungulate biomass method yielded an estimated provincial population of 2,719 wolves based on predicted densities of one wolf/150 km² in the forest fringe (WMZs 37, 43, 47-55) and forest (WMZ 56-69) and one wolf/400 km² in the shield (WMZs 70-76). The habitat method resulted in an estimate of 3,773 wolves based on predicted densities of one wolf/70 km² in the forest fringe, 1/50 km² in the forest and 1/200 km² in the shield.

There are no new data that could be applied to improve these estimates, however anecdotally there is some indication that resident wolf densities in the forest fringe may have increased since the 2006 estimates. Populations along the forest fringe have historically tended to fluctuate quite widely apparently in relation to available food resources so there is no evidence that increasing the predicted density in this region would improve the long-term estimate. Any long-term increase in provincial population would likely be the result of range expansions which appear to be occurring along the southern forest fringe and in isolated pockets on the prairies.

Survey Data

There was no formal population survey conducted for wolves during 2017. A wolf population index is derived from the Annual Status of Furbearers Survey that is aimed primarily at trappers. Since this survey began the sample size of trappers reporting has generally been too small to assess trends with any confidence. However, in 2017 the furbearer survey was added to the regular hunter harvest survey available online through the automated licensing system. This resulted in a significant increase in the number of trappers responding and will be summarized in next year's report.

An indirect measure of abundance is also obtained from export records of wolf pelts marketed by trappers. These data mainly reflect trapper effort as influenced by market prices but can indicate population declines where predicted harvest fails to mirror market peaks. Wolf harvest data are presented in Table 32.

Also, with the addition of a regular season hunting opportunity for wolves, the holders of a wolf licence were also included in the 2017 hunter harvest survey. Results of this survey are shown in Table 36.

Biological Sample Collections

Over 25 hair, blood and tissue samples were collected from legally harvested wolves during 2016 as part of the University of Saskatchewan Boreal Wolf research project. Samples will be used to understand wolf genetic population structure in Saskatchewan. No samples were collected in 2017.

General Overview

Although complaints persisted in some areas around wolves impacting moose populations there was no widespread anecdotal evidence to support that wolf populations along the forest fringe were above long-term norms. Compensated livestock losses to wolves were also at normal levels. There were 29 Rural Municipalities in the Wolf Management Area (WMA; Figure 18) in 2017. Policy allows these municipalities access to some wolf harvest methods not available outside the WMA.

Survey data from all sources as well as anecdotal reports from ministry field staff and general public seem to indicate populations at normal levels with typical anomalies in distribution resulting in pockets with higher densities.

Hunting Season Review

Saskatchewan did not have a hunting season for wolves prior to 2014. The pilot wolf hunt in WMZ 49 was continued in 2015 with 100 licences (200 tags) offered and an additional hunt was conducted in WMZ 53. The 2015 hunts ran from December 15 through March 31, 2016. The pilot hunt was again expanded in 2016 when it was open across the forest fringe in WMZs 43, 47, 48, 49, 50, 53, 54, 55 and 68 North with the season open from October 15, 2016 to March 31, 2017. A formal regular season was initiated in 2017-18 encompassing the 2016-17 zones and season dates. Results of all hunts are shown in Table 36.

Research Initiatives

Wolf research was initiated in March 2014 as a part of a larger project studying woodland caribou in Saskatchewan's Northern Boreal Shield. Over the first year, 37 wolves in more than 20 packs spanning across northern Saskatchewan were fitted with GPS satellite collars designed to provide three years of location data. The project is ongoing, with research focused on understanding wolf habitat selection patterns in the Shield, and in particular, how caribou respond to wolf space-use patterns. Research on wolves uniquely large home range size is also underway.

Table 36. Results of Wolf Hunts.

	Licences Sold/Tags Available	# Hunters Reporting	Response Rate	# Who Hunted	# Wolves Harvested	Harvest Success
2014-15*						
WMZ 49	81/162	36	44%	31	3	5%
2015-16*						
WMZ 49	14/28	7	44%	3	0	0%
WMZ 53	93/186	23	25%	15	0	0%
2016-17						
WMZs 43,47,48,49,50, 53,54,55,68N	202/202	188	93%**	139	10	7%
2017-18						
WMZs 43,47,48,49,50, 53,54,55,68N	266	67	25%	42	4	10%

*Pilot study years.

** Mandatory reporting in 2016.




Figure 17. Current Wolf Management Areas in Saskatchewan by Rural Municipality (RM).

Management Objectives and Strategies

Long-term Management Objectives

- Monitor and document wolf range in Saskatchewan.
- Increase sample size reporting on the population index survey.
- Improve harvest data by accessing export data for wolves retained for personal use and by acquiring better data on wolves harvested, but not marketed, by trappers.
- Monitor hunter harvest and other related mortality.
- Create long-term management units.

Short-term Management Strategies

- Analyze and assess future use of hunting as a wolf management tool.
- Document wolf distribution from field reports.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan: Seguin, R. J. 1991. A Wolf Management Strategy for Saskatchewan. Editors Mike Gollop (chair), Dave Brewster, Wayne Runge, Tim Trottier. Wildlife Population Management. Information Base, 91-WPM-4

For additional information, please contact:

Mike Gollop
Furbearer and Carnivore Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch
Ministry of Environment
102-112 Research Dr. Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3
(306) 933-5767
Mike.Gollop@gov.sk.ca

Additional Species

Cougar (*Puma concolor*)

Cougars are one of the most evasive and secretive of all wildlife. Like many species, cougar numbers dropped and their range decreased dramatically following settlement of the prairies. Beginning about the turn of this century there was an increasing number of cougar sightings outside of the traditional post-settlement range. This trend occurred in western and mid-western jurisdictions across the continent, including Saskatchewan. Cougar sightings in Saskatchewan have since been confirmed across the south and as far north as La Ronge and Wintego Lake. Breeding populations have been confirmed in the Cypress Hills, Glaslyn and Porcupine Hills areas with suspected breeding in Moose Mountain and across the parkland-boreal forest interface.

Population Status

Saskatchewan cougar populations are managed based on accepted principles of conservation weighted by trends in the occurrence of human and livestock conflicts. Saskatchewan has never offered hunting or trapping seasons. However, in 2016 legislation was advanced in support of a trapping season which was initiated in 2017. This was in response to increasing human conflicts in southwest Saskatchewan where local cougar populations have increased substantially since the first confirmation of a breeding population in 2006. Cougars are protected under Saskatchewan's Wildlife Regulations; however, landowners have the right to kill a cougar in order to protect their livestock or property. Any cougar killed must be reported to the Ministry of Environment immediately.

Research Initiatives

There is currently no research being undertaken on cougars in Saskatchewan. In 2010, University of Alberta master's student, Carl Morrison, began work building on previous cougar research conducted in the Cypress Hills (Bacon 2010). Using GPS radio collars and wildlife cameras, the focus of this research examined the cougars' spatial and temporal behavioral response to a seasonal flux in human use and evaluated habitat selection, movement and dispersal in an isolated and naturally fragmented landscape. This work was completed in 2013.

Survey Results

As a result of cougar being named a furbearer they will be included in the 2018 online furbearer survey. They were not included in 2017 and the harvest results from trapping are not available at this time. There were 21 cougars taken by ministry staff, predator control specialists or landowners under problem wildlife legislation in 2017.

Additional Information

Most recent provincial species plan: None available.

For additional information, please contact: Mike Gollop
Furbearer and Carnivore Manager
Fish, Wildlife and Lands Branch, Ministry of Environment
102-112 Research Dr. Saskatoon, SK S7N 3R3
(306) 933-5767 or Mike.Gollop@gov.sk.ca

Literature Cited

- Arsenault, A. 2000. Status and management of moose (*Alces alces*) in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Environment and Resource Management. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2000-01. 84pp.
- Arsenault, A. 2005. Status and Management of Wildlife in Saskatchewan, 2002 and 2003. Saskatchewan Environment, Resource Technical Report 2005-2. 89pp.
- Arsenault, A. 2007. Management strategy for pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*) in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2008-01. 34pp.
- Arsenault, A. 2008. Saskatchewan elk (*Cervus elaphus*) Management Plan – Update. Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment, Fish and Wildlife Branch Technical Report 2008-02. 63pp. (Unpublished)
- Bacon, M. 2010. The ecology of a re-established cougar (*Puma concolor*) population in southeastern Alberta and southwestern Saskatchewan. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada.
- Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board. 2005. Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Plan 2005-2012. Technical Report.
- BirdLife International and Handbook of the Birds of the World. 2016. Bird species distribution maps of the world. Version 6.0. Available at <http://datazone.birdlife.org/species/requestdis>
- Brewster, D. 1993. Reproductive performance and fetal developments of white-tailed deer in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Natural Resources. Wildlife Technical Report 93-1. 41pp.
- Brook, R. K. 2014. Habitat Selection, Movements, and Vehicular Collision Risk of Moose in the Agricultural Landscape of Saskatchewan. Progress Report for the Period March 2013 – Jan 2014. 36pp. (Unpublished)
- Brook, R. K., M.P. LaForge, A.L. Wheeler and N.L. Michel. 2016. Habitat Selection, Home Range, Movements, and Reproductive Success of Adult Female Moose in the Agricultural Landscape of Saskatchewan. Final Technical Report. 188pp. (Unpublished)
- Caras, R. 1967. North American Mammals: Fur-Bearing Animals of the United States and Canada. New York: Meredith Press.

- Central Flyway Webless Migratory Game Bird Technical Committee. 2006. Management guidelines for the mid-continent population of Sandhill cranes. Central Flyway Council Document.
- Central Flyway Waterfowl Technical Committee. 2010. Management guidelines for hi-line Canada geese. Central Flyway Council Document.
- Couldwell, G. 1976. Deer food purchase program. Saskatchewan Department of Natural Resources. Wildlife Bulletin, 76-W-8.
- Couldwell, G. 1979. Mortality of white-tailed deer in Saskatchewan in the 1978-1979 winter. Saskatchewan Parks and Renewable Resources. Wildlife Bulletin, 79-WPM-12.
- Couldwell, G. 1980. Mortality of white-tailed deer in Saskatchewan in the 1979-1980 winter. Saskatchewan Tourism and Renewable Resources. Wildlife Bulletin, 80-W-8.
- Czaplewski, R., D. Crowe, and L. McDonald. 1993. Sample sizes and confidence intervals for wildlife population ratios. Wildlife Society Bulletin 11(2):121-128.
- Department of Tourism and Renewable Resources. 1980. Saskatchewan game bird management objectives and strategies for the 80's. Fisheries and Wildlife Branch. Regina, SK
- Derek Murray Consulting Associates. 2006. Economic Evaluation of Saskatchewan's Outfitted Hunting and Fishing Industry. A technical report prepared by Derek Murray Consulting Associates for Saskatchewan Environment.
- DeVivo MT, Edmunds DR, Kauffman MJ, Schumaker BA, Binfet J, et al. (2017) Endemic chronic wasting disease causes mule deer population decline in Wyoming. PLOS ONE 12(10).
- Dubovsky, J. A. 2017. Status and harvests of sandhill cranes: Mid-Continent, Rocky Mountain, Lower Colorado River Valley and Eastern Populations. Administrative Report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lakewood, Colorado. 15pp.
- Dubovsky, J. A., compiler. 2017. Central Flyway harvest and population survey data book 2016. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lakewood CO.
- Dulberger, J. N. Thompson Hobbs, H. M. Swanson, C. J. Bishop, and M. W. Miller. 2010. Estimating chronic wasting disease effects on mule deer recruitment and population growth. J. of Wildlife Management 46: 1086-1095.
- Edmunds DR, Kauffman MJ, Schumaker BA, Lindzey FG, Cook WE, et al. 2016. Chronic Wasting Disease Drives Population Decline of White-Tailed Deer. PLOS ONE 11(8).
- InterGroup Consultants Ltd. 2013. Economic Valuation and Socio-Cultural Perspectives of the Estimated Harvest of the Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Herds. Submission to Beverly and Qamanirjuaq Caribou Management Board. Stonewall, Manitoba. 58pp.

- Koback, L. 2017. Saskatchewan Wild Fur Harvest and Cash Values 2016-17. Fish and Wildlife Branch Summary Report. Saskatoon, SK.
- Laforge, M.P., N.L. Michel, A.L. Wheeler, and R.K. Brook. 2016. Habitat selection by female moose in the Canadian prairie ecozone. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 80:1059-1068.
- Mamun, A. A. and R.K. Brook. 2017. Characterizing, Mapping and Modelling Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge about Woodland Caribou in Saskatchewan in Support of Range Planning. Final Report to the Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. University of Saskatchewan. 119pp. (Unpublished)
- McLoughlin, P. D., K. Stewart, C. Superbie, T. Perry, P. Tomchuk, R. Greuel, K. Singh, A. Truchon-Savard, J. Henkelman, and J. F. Johnstone. 2016. Population dynamics and critical habitat of woodland caribou in the Saskatchewan Boreal Shield. Interim Project Report, 2013–2016. Department of Biology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon. 162pp.
- Miller, M.W., H. M. Swanson, L.L. Wolfe, F.G. Quartoarone, S.L. Huwer, C. H. Southwick, and P. M. Luckacs. 2008. Lions and prions and deer demise. *PLoS ONE* 3: e4019.
- Monello, E., J. G. Powers, N. Thompson Hobbs, T. R. Spraker, M.K. Watry, M.A. Wild. 2014. Survival and population growth of a free ranging elk population with a long history of exposure to chronic wasting disease. *J. of Wildlife Management* 78: 214-223.
- Priadka, P. 2015. Genetic Connectivity of Boreal Woodland Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) in Central Canada. Faculty of Graduate Studies of The University of Manitoba; Thesis for the degree of Master of Natural Resources Management. Natural Resources Institute. Winnipeg, Canada. 125pp.
- Saskatchewan Environment. 2007. Recovery Strategy for Boreal Woodland Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Environment. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2007. 46pp. (Unpublished)
- Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. 2012. Recovery Plan For Boreal Woodland Caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) in Saskatchewan. Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment. Fish and Wildlife Technical Report 2012 – pending review.
- Scheaffer, R., W. Mendenhall, and L. Ott. 1990. Elementary Survey Sampling, 4th Ed. PWS-Kent Publishing Co., Boston. ISBN 0-534-92185-X. 390pp.
- Seguin, R. J. 1991. A Wolf Management Strategy for Saskatchewan. Editors Mike Gollop (chair), Dave Brewster, Wayne Runge, Tim Trottier. Wildlife Population Management. Information Base, 91-WPM-4.
- Stewart, R. 1983. The stratified random block aerial survey technique. Saskatchewan Parks and Renewable Resources. Wildlife Population Management. Information Base, 83-WPM-12.

White-fronted Goose Subcommittee of the Central Flyway Waterfowl Technical Committee, the Arctic Goose Committee of the Mississippi Flyway Game Bird Technical Section, and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, with assistance from representatives of the Canadian Wildlife Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Management plan for midcontinent greater white-fronted geese. Flyway Council Document.

Appendix

Appendix A. Licence sales (2008-2017) for all licence types in Saskatchewan. Data not available is indicated by “---”.

Licence Type	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Habitat Certificates	73,085	72,410	72,738	72,932	77,209	75,512	70,591	74,769	76,829	77,234
Res. Game Bird	16,924	16,939	17,442	17,861	19,373	19,752	19,983	20,850	21,542	20,413
Can. Res. Game Bird	1,988	1,990	2,018	2,033	2,096	2,221	2,181	2,376	2,141	2,024
Non. Res. Game Bird	9,049	8,596	8,323	8,491	8,823	9,353	9,662	9,853	10,462	11,120
Res. 1st Whitetail	37,072	37,515	37,488	38,818	41,754	38,374	33,552	38,492	40,756	40,285
Res. 2nd Whitetail	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Can. Res. Whitetail	3,509	4,162	4,330	4,558	---	---	---	---	---	---
Draw Can. Res. Whitetail	---	---	---	---	4381	2,976	892	972	962	1,005
Guided Whitetail	3,624	2,488	2,512	2,453	2,526	2,512	1,920	1,944	2,256	2,375
Res. Antlerless Whitetail	3,645	12,677	13,431	14,025	6,063	4,741	1,435	1,155	1,086	808
Res. 2nd Antlerless Whitetail	20	3158	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Regular Elk	4,415	4,956	5,108	5,018	5,792	6,202	7,552	6,288	6,174	6,331
Draw Elk	3,273	3,313	3,330	3,206	2,444	2,737	2,386	2,593	2,891	3,308
Regular Moose	5,587	5,585	5,413	6,033	6,348	6,590	7,156	7,756	7,221	6,610
Draw Moose	2,610	4,264	3,855	4,410	5,202	5,790	5,720	5,687	5,575	5,330
Guided Moose	151	125	127	121	105	95	114	120	119	124
Draw Mule Deer	6,991	4,628	6,377	4,955	4,530	4,144	3,661	3,622	3,574	3,993
Mule Deer Archery	1,561	1,666	2,313	2,391	2,875	3,221	2,327	2,666	2,803	2,941
Draw 1st Antlerless Draw Mule Deer	7,361	5,775	5,047	3,342	3,156	2,890	3,319	3,240	3,058	3,264
Draw 2nd Antlerless Mule Deer	---	1447	1,271	---	---	---	1402	1,257	1,173	1,108
Draw Pronghorn Antelope	854	1626	1,155	---	---	---	---	133	129	433
Res. Bear (1st & 2nd Licence)	2,299	2,970	3,144	3,341	3,622	3,694	4,153	4,408	4,151	3,966
Can. Res. Bear	208	203	219	252	289	263	258	248	228	202
Guided Bear	2,032	1,639	1,581	1,545	1,520	1,635	1,651	1,628	1,759	1,765
Barren Ground Caribou	6	0	6	1	5	7	10	4	10	7
2nd Barren Ground Caribou	6	0	4	1	4	7	10	4	10	4

Youth Licence	5,475	5,234	4,949	4,888	5,314	6,009	5,566	5,732	5,780	5,554
Wolf	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	266
Northern Fur Con. Licence	1,992	1,691	1,665	1,527	1,749	1,893	1,854	1,896	1,639	1,675
South Sask. Fur Licence	1,143	1,076	976	1235	1,783	2,188	2,566	2,878	2,723	2,812
Youth Fur Licence	115	96	76	78	94	175	214	216	172	159
Total Licences Sold	194,995	206,229	204,898	199,794	207,057	202,981	190,135	200,654	206,382	209,406
Migratory Bird Permit Sales	18,082	17,898	17,995	17,533	20,112	21,376	20,518	21,099	20,756	19,862